

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 11 (Grade of Instruction: 10 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Primary Race/ Ethnicity

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/11	2008/11	2009/11	2010/11	2011/11	2012/11	2013/11
Asian	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
	State	47% 1138 272	49% 1139 248	47% 1139 268	52% 1139 356	52% 1139 359	48% 1138 392	52% 1138 400
Black	District	0	0	2	0	2	0	0
	State	12% 1127 201	15% 1130 221	9% 1128 262	14% 1129 259	15% 1130 240	14% 1129 275	11% 1128 260
Hispanic	District	2	0	3	1	1	4	0
	State	11% 1127 429	14% 1129 373	17% 1130 459	18% 1131 447	17% 1131 472	17% 1130 460	11% 1129 497
White	District	21% 1132 94	24% 1132 82	28% 1134 115	32% 1136 86	29% 1135 96	20% 1136 74	28% 1136 67
	State	28% 1134 14,587	33% 1135 14,763	34% 1136 14,100	36% 1136 13,790	37% 1137 13,486	38% 1137 13,020	38% 1136 12,684



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 11 (Grade of Instruction: 10 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Limited English Proficiency

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/11	2008/11	2009/11	2010/11	2011/11	2012/11	2013/11
Current LEP	District	1	0	2	0	0	0	0
	State	10% 1123 135	5% 1126 120	5% 1126 199	10% 1125 213	6% 1125 166	3% 1122 189	5% 1120 179
Monitor Yr1	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	State	9% 1125 23	40% 1137 15	26% 1136 19	7% 1132 27	17% 1130 35	7% 1127 44	12% 1131 43
Monitor Yr2	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	State	14% 1126 21	20% 1128 25	20% 1135 10	25% 1135 20	26% 1134 54	14% 1133 97	13% 1130 78
Non LEP	District	21% 1132 95	24% 1132 82	27% 1134 119	32% 1135 87	28% 1135 99	19% 1136 78	28% 1136 68
	State	28% 1134 15,367	33% 1135 15,514	34% 1136 14,959	36% 1136 14,764	37% 1136 14,471	38% 1136 14,055	37% 1136 13,751



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 11 (Grade of Instruction: 10)

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Individualized Education Plan

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/11	2008/11	2009/11	2010/11	2011/11	2012/11	2013/11
IEP	District	0% 1118 11	0% 1121 19	0% 1125 10	9	8	9	3
	State	4% 1124 2,303	4% 1126 2,367	5% 1125 2,248	5% 1125 2,142	7% 1127 2,160	6% 1126 2,108	6% 1125 2,044
No IEP	District	23% 1134 85	32% 1136 63	29% 1135 111	36% 1137 78	30% 1136 91	21% 1137 69	29% 1136 65
	State	31% 1135 13,243	37% 1137 13,307	39% 1137 12,939	40% 1138 12,882	41% 1138 12,566	43% 1138 12,277	42% 1138 12,007



#### Fall Testing Grade: 11 (Grade of Instruction: 10)

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Econ. Disadvantage Status

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/11	2008/11	2009/11	2010/11	2011/11	2012/11	2013/11
Econ Dis	District	30% 1130 10	8% 1128 12	21% 1130 14	20% 1132 15	25% 1133 20	8% 1135 25	38% 1138 21
	State	12% 1129 2,106	15% 1130 2,136	17% 1131 2,361	16% 1131 2,632	19% 1132 3,033	19% 1131 3,006	19% 1131 3,025
Non Econ Dis	District	20% 1132 86	27% 1133 70	27% 1135 107	35% 1136 72	29% 1136 79	25% 1136 53	23% 1134 47
	State	30% 1134 13,440	35% 1136 13,538	37% 1137 12,826	39% 1137 12,392	41% 1137 11,693	43% 1137 11,379	42% 1137 11,026



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional


#### Fall Testing Grade: 11 (Grade of Instruction: 10 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/11	2008/11	2009/11	2010/11	2011/11	2012/11	2013/11
Female	District	17% 1132 46	15% 1130 41	28% 1134 54	28% 1134 40	20% 1135 46	21% 1136 47	25% 1135 32
	State	25% 1134 7,687	30% 1135 7,805	32% 1136 7,426	32% 1136 7,418	34% 1136 7,229	36% 1136 7,000	36% 1136 6,817
Male	District	24% 1131 50	34% 1134 41	25% 1135 67	36% 1136 47	36% 1136 53	16% 1135 31	31% 1136 36
	State	29% 1134 7,859	34% 1135 7,867	35% 1136 7,761	38% 1136 7,606	39% 1137 7,497	39% 1136 7,383	38% 1136 7,233

**Gender**  
**Teaching Year Results**  
**For District**



■ Female ● Male


#### Fall Testing Grade: 11 (Grade of Instruction: 10 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/11	2008/11	2009/11	2010/11	2011/11	2012/11	2013/11
Non Title 1	District	21% 1132 96	24% 1132 82	26% 1134 121	32% 1135 87	28% 1135 99	20% 1136 75	28% 1136 68
	State	28% 1134 15,504	32% 1135 15,596	34% 1136 15,100	36% 1136 14,946	37% 1136 14,628	38% 1136 14,238	37% 1136 13,911
Title 1	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	0 0	3 3	0 0
	State	5% 1128 42	6% 1129 78	0% 1129 87	7% 1129 78	11% 1131 98	16% 1133 147	12% 1131 140

**Title 1 Status**  
**Teaching Year Results**  
**For District**



■ Non Title 1 ● Title 1

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 8 (Grade of Instruction: 7 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Primary Race/ Ethnicity

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/8	2008/8	2009/8	2010/8	2011/8	2012/8	2013/8
Asian	District	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	State	76% 847 302	79% 847 331	76% 847 357	74% 847 366	79% 848 364	73% 846 380	79% 848 402
Black	District	1	3	0	0	2	1	1
	State	30% 835 278	40% 837 299	39% 836 324	34% 836 333	36% 837 326	45% 837 251	39% 837 272
Hispanic	District	2	1	2	0	3	1	1
	State	34% 835 498	41% 836 475	39% 836 494	37% 837 524	43% 838 630	44% 837 612	38% 836 656
White	District	54% 841 109	49% 840 110	60% 842 99	72% 845 73	62% 842 89	66% 842 95	61% 843 70
	State	59% 842 14,915	65% 843 14,456	67% 843 14,057	67% 844 13,777	69% 844 13,378	69% 844 13,062	66% 844 12,908



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 8 (Grade of Instruction: 7 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Limited English Proficiency

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/8	2008/8	2009/8	2010/8	2011/8	2012/8	2013/8
Current LEP	District	1	1	0	0	0	1	0
	State	19% 830 196	23% 831 190	23% 831 311	12% 830 271	19% 831 318	20% 830 273	13% 828 257
Monitor Yr1	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	State	48% 838 21	52% 841 23	67% 843 18	52% 841 29	47% 841 19	51% 841 29	47% 838 28
Monitor Yr2	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	State	34% 837 12	39% 838 28	82% 846 22	55% 842 29	52% 843 29	71% 844 34	50% 842 28
Non LEP	District	52% 841 111	49% 840 113	60% 842 101	73% 845 74	61% 842 97	67% 842 96	63% 843 72
	State	58% 841 15,851	65% 843 15,414	66% 843 15,038	66% 843 14,879	69% 844 14,596	68% 844 14,220	66% 844 14,168



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 8 (Grade of Instruction: 7 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Individualized Education Plan

##### Teaching Year Results

##### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/8	2008/8	2009/8	2010/8	2011/8	2012/8	2013/8
IEP	District	14% 830 14	8% 833 12	17% 834 12	8% 836 8	24% 834 12	23% 832 9	20% 832 7
	State	17% 830 2,526	22% 833 2,539	23% 832 2,510	24% 833 2,421	24% 834 2,424	23% 832 2,284	20% 832 2,248
No IEP	District	57% 842 98	53% 841 102	66% 843 89	74% 845 66	68% 843 85	73% 844 88	69% 845 65
	State	66% 843 13,554	73% 845 13,116	74% 845 12,879	73% 845 12,787	77% 846 12,538	76% 846 12,272	73% 845 12,233



■ IEP      ● No IEP

#### Fall Testing Grade: 8 (Grade of Instruction: 7 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Econ. Disadvantage Status

##### Teaching Year Results

##### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/8	2008/8	2009/8	2010/8	2011/8	2012/8	2013/8
Econ Dis	District	43% 840 21	42% 838 19	47% 839 34	70% 845 20	61% 842 36	63% 841 40	50% 842 26
	State	36% 836 2,904	44% 837 3,031	44% 837 3,175	44% 838 3,566	48% 839 3,780	49% 839 3,831	46% 838 3,871
Non Econ Dis	District	54% 840 91	50% 840 95	67% 843 67	74% 845 54	60% 843 61	69% 843 57	69% 844 46
	State	64% 843 13,176	70% 844 12,624	71% 845 12,214	71% 845 11,642	74% 846 11,182	74% 845 10,725	71% 845 10,610



■ Econ Dis      ● Non Econ Dis

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District


### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 8 (Grade of Instruction: 7 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/8	2008/8	2009/8	2010/8	2011/8	2012/8	2013/8
Female	District	45% 839 51	45% 840 58	65% 843 63	66% 844 41	62% 843 52	70% 842 46	64% 845 39
	State	58% 841 7,785	65% 843 7,611	65% 843 7,356	65% 843 7,397	70% 844 7,254	69% 844 7,020	67% 844 6,852
Male	District	57% 841 61	52% 840 56	52% 840 38	82% 845 33	60% 842 45	63% 842 51	61% 841 33
	State	59% 841 8,294	64% 842 8,044	66% 843 8,033	66% 843 7,811	67% 844 7,708	66% 843 7,536	62% 843 7,629




#### Fall Testing Grade: 8 (Grade of Instruction: 7 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/8	2008/8	2009/8	2010/8	2011/8	2012/8	2013/8
Non Title 1	District	54% 841 107	52% 841 106	63% 842 95	75% 845 69	64% 843 92	71% 843 90	64% 843 69
	State	58% 841 15,952	65% 843 15,442	66% 843 15,217	66% 843 14,908	68% 844 14,492	68% 844 14,125	65% 843 14,170
Title 1	District	5 5	8 5	6 5	5 5	5 5	7 7	3 3
	State	24% 836 128	29% 836 213	37% 838 172	33% 837 300	42% 838 470	46% 839 431	40% 838 311



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 7 (Grade of Instruction: 6 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Primary Race/ Ethnicity

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/7	2008/7	2009/7	2010/7	2011/7	2012/7	2013/7
Asian	District	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
	State	77% 748 330	76% 748 341	75% 748 349	74% 747 364	75% 748 379	83% 750 393	81% 750 431
Black	District	3	0	0	2	1	1	0
	State	36% 735 298	40% 737 328	36% 736 332	35% 735 335	45% 737 270	43% 737 285	44% 738 266
Hispanic	District	1	2	1	2	3	2	3
	State	38% 737 436	37% 736 481	41% 737 493	41% 737 619	43% 738 608	42% 737 630	43% 738 638
White	District	53% 740 103	61% 742 92	57% 742 85	62% 742 92	63% 743 90	65% 742 67	66% 744 89
	State	63% 743 14,527	67% 744 14,071	68% 744 13,871	69% 745 13,451	69% 745 13,155	71% 745 12,952	69% 745 12,589



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 7 (Grade of Instruction: 6 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Limited English Proficiency

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/7	2008/7	2009/7	2010/7	2011/7	2012/7	2013/7	
Current LEP	District	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	
	State	22% 730 185	20% 731 246	21% 731 247	18% 728 298	22% 731 298	18% 729 255	15% 728 231	
Monitor Yr1	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	State	42% 740 35	79% 747 24	58% 741 33	51% 741 33	62% 745 32	75% 743 31	63% 742 27	
Monitor Yr2	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	State	42% 741 36	54% 741 33	65% 743 37	67% 745 36	48% 740 63	43% 740 79	64% 741 60	
Non LEP	District	51% 740 106	61% 742 94	57% 742 87	63% 742 97	63% 743 94	66% 743 70	66% 744 90	
	State	63% 743 15,419	66% 744 15,009	67% 744 14,872	68% 744 14,644	69% 745 14,261	70% 745 14,144	69% 745 13,880	



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 7 (Grade of Instruction: 6 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Individualized Education Plan

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/7	2008/7	2009/7	2010/7	2011/7	2012/7	2013/7
IEP	District	18% 732 11	20% 732 15	17% 733 12	25% 735 12			
	State	23% 732 2,577	24% 732 2,475	25% 733 2,488	24% 733 2,395	26% 733 2,305	25% 733 2,250	24% 733 2,158
No IEP	District	55% 741 96	69% 744 79	64% 744 75	68% 743 85	69% 744 85	73% 744 63	69% 744 84
	State	70% 745 13,098	73% 746 12,837	74% 746 12,701	75% 746 12,616	76% 747 12,349	77% 747 12,259	77% 747 12,040



#### Fall Testing Grade: 7 (Grade of Instruction: 6 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Econ. Disadvantage Status

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/7	2008/7	2009/7	2010/7	2011/7	2012/7	2013/7
Econ Dis	District	29% 737 17	41% 737 24	55% 742 20	65% 741 40	57% 742 49	58% 741 26	41% 739 34
	State	41% 737 3,056	43% 738 3,048	46% 738 3,192	47% 739 3,718	49% 739 3,817	52% 740 3,937	50% 739 3,875
Non Econ Dis	District	55% 741 90	67% 744 70	58% 743 67	62% 743 57	69% 744 45	70% 743 44	78% 746 58
	State	68% 744 12,619	71% 745 12,264	72% 745 11,997	74% 746 11,293	75% 747 10,837	75% 747 10,572	76% 747 10,323



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional


#### Fall Testing Grade: 7 (Grade of Instruction: 6 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/7	2008/7	2009/7	2010/7	2011/7	2012/7	2013/7
Female	District	45% 739 60	64% 742 61	61% 743 46	60% 742 50	60% 743 43	73% 743 37	72% 745 46
	State	63% 743 7,608	66% 744 7,337	66% 744 7,398	66% 744 7,298	68% 745 7,067	70% 745 6,861	71% 745 6,901
Male	District	60% 741 47	54% 742 33	54% 742 41	66% 743 47	65% 743 51	57% 742 33	56% 741 46
	State	63% 743 8,067	66% 744 7,975	66% 744 7,791	67% 744 7,713	68% 745 7,587	67% 745 7,647	67% 744 7,297

**Gender**  
**Teaching Year Results**  
**For District**




#### Fall Testing Grade: 7 (Grade of Instruction: 6 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/7	2008/7	2009/7	2010/7	2011/7	2012/7	2013/7
Non Title 1	District	58% 741 94	68% 743 84	60% 743 84	67% 743 91	67% 744 87	67% 743 66	68% 744 87
	State	63% 743 15,390	66% 744 14,874	67% 744 14,727	68% 744 14,363	70% 745 13,872	70% 745 13,766	69% 745 13,640
Title 1	District	8% 731 13	0% 733 10					
	State	40% 737 285	42% 739 438	44% 738 462	48% 740 648	46% 739 782	54% 741 743	54% 742 558

**Title 1 Status**  
**Teaching Year Results**  
**For District**



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 6 (Grade of Instruction: 5 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Primary Race/ Ethnicity

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/6	2008/6	2009/6	2010/6	2011/6	2012/6	2013/6
Asian	District	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
	State	77% 649 330	82% 650 351	81% 650 357	81% 650 379	83% 651 393	81% 650 424	80% 649 409
Black	District	0	0	2	1	2	0	0
	State	40% 636 311	39% 636 325	45% 638 331	49% 638 267	46% 637 280	45% 637 256	40% 637 274
Hispanic	District	2	1	3	4	1	3	3
	State	40% 637 432	42% 637 474	47% 639 561	45% 638 600	49% 639 616	52% 639 614	48% 638 687
White	District	52% 640 92	58% 642 78	63% 643 99	74% 645 86	66% 643 71	65% 643 89	77% 644 83
	State	69% 645 13,915	70% 645 13,783	74% 646 13,486	73% 646 13,133	74% 647 12,864	76% 647 12,510	72% 645 12,381



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 6 (Grade of Instruction: 5 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Limited English Proficiency

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/6	2008/6	2009/6	2010/6	2011/6	2012/6	2013/6	
Current LEP	District	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	
	State	29% 632 231	27% 631 229	24% 631 291	26% 631 312	24% 630 270	21% 628 220	16% 626 205	
Monitor Yr1	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	State	54% 639 39	59% 645 39	74% 646 42	53% 641 73	52% 641 81	60% 640 65	46% 637 71	
Monitor Yr2	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	State	61% 642 26	63% 643 59	72% 644 33	75% 646 49	73% 646 101	69% 645 112	50% 639 116	
Non LEP	District	53% 640 94	57% 642 80	63% 643 104	73% 645 91	68% 643 74	65% 643 90	76% 644 85	
	State	68% 645 14,879	70% 645 14,696	73% 646 14,546	72% 646 14,157	74% 647 13,955	75% 646 13,691	71% 645 13,689	



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 6 (Grade of Instruction: 5 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Individualized Education Plan

##### Teaching Year Results

##### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/6	2008/6	2009/6	2010/6	2011/6	2012/6	2013/6
IEP	District	8% 631 12	7	16% 633 12	30% 636 10	9	10% 625 10	4
	State	27% 633 2,474	29% 633 2,426	32% 634 2,404	30% 634 2,260	32% 634 2,263	30% 633 2,127	26% 632 2,138
No IEP	District	60% 642 82	60% 642 73	69% 644 92	78% 646 81	75% 645 65	70% 645 82	77% 645 82
	State	76% 647 12,701	77% 647 12,597	80% 648 12,508	79% 648 12,331	80% 649 12,144	81% 649 11,961	78% 647 11,943



■ IEP      ● No IEP

#### Fall Testing Grade: 6 (Grade of Instruction: 5 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Econ. Disadvantage Status

##### Teaching Year Results

##### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/6	2008/6	2009/6	2010/6	2011/6	2012/6	2013/6
Econ Dis	District	43% 638 23	54% 641 15	59% 642 36	63% 643 41	59% 642 29	48% 639 29	70% 643 27
	State	47% 638 3,014	49% 638 3,121	52% 640 3,366	54% 640 3,690	57% 641 3,824	58% 641 3,889	50% 639 3,907
Non Econ Dis	District	57% 641 71	58% 642 65	64% 643 68	80% 646 50	74% 645 45	70% 644 63	76% 644 59
	State	73% 646 12,161	74% 646 11,902	78% 648 11,546	77% 648 10,901	79% 649 10,583	80% 648 10,199	78% 647 10,174



■ Econ Dis      ● Non Econ Dis

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District


### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 6 (Grade of Instruction: 5 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/6	2008/6	2009/6	2010/6	2011/6	2012/6	2013/6
Female	District	50% 639 60	56% 642 43	59% 642 54	81% 646 43	68% 644 35	69% 644 46	76% 645 42
	State	67% 644 7,294	68% 644 7,275	72% 646 7,229	71% 646 7,023	73% 647 6,798	75% 646 6,857	72% 645 6,816
Male	District	59% 642 34	60% 641 37	66% 643 50	65% 644 48	67% 643 39	57% 641 46	73% 643 44
	State	68% 645 7,881	69% 645 7,748	73% 646 7,683	71% 646 7,568	73% 646 7,609	73% 646 7,231	69% 645 7,265




#### Fall Testing Grade: 6 (Grade of Instruction: 5 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/6	2008/6	2009/6	2010/6	2011/6	2012/6	2013/6
Non Title 1	District	58% 641 81	63% 643 73	65% 643 99	77% 646 85	70% 644 69	67% 644 82	78% 645 81
	State	70% 645 13,824	72% 645 13,481	75% 647 13,393	74% 647 12,729	76% 648 12,291	77% 647 11,687	74% 646 11,610
Title 1	District	23% 633 13	7 7	5 5	6 6	5 5	30% 632 10	5 5
	State	40% 637 1,351	45% 638 1,542	50% 640 1,519	52% 640 1,862	53% 641 2,116	57% 641 2,401	50% 639 2,471



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 5 (Grade of Instruction: 4 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Primary Race/ Ethnicity

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/5	2008/5	2009/5	2010/5	2011/5	2012/5	2013/5
Asian	District	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
	State	81% 550 366	82% 550 357	83% 550 394	81% 550 395	81% 551 424	81% 550 409	77% 549 456
Black	District	0	2	1	1	1	0	3
	State	44% 537 315	46% 539 367	53% 540 277	41% 537 287	51% 539 252	50% 540 274	49% 539 249
Hispanic	District	1	2	4	1	3	2	2
	State	49% 538 446	54% 540 511	55% 541 552	52% 539 573	56% 541 574	54% 541 628	52% 540 645
White	District	65% 543 78	69% 544 100	68% 544 81	78% 545 71	75% 546 89	74% 544 77	65% 543 78
	State	69% 545 13,740	74% 546 13,538	75% 547 13,157	75% 547 12,940	77% 547 12,542	75% 547 12,422	74% 546 12,118



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 5 (Grade of Instruction: 4 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Limited English Proficiency

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/5	2008/5	2009/5	2010/5	2011/5	2012/5	2013/5
Current LEP	District	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
	State	41% 536 242	28% 535 265	39% 536 345	28% 534 342	31% 534 281	25% 532 255	25% 532 222
Monitor Yr1	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	State	58% 542 77	63% 543 41	81% 547 53	71% 546 112	71% 545 116	55% 541 122	50% 539 85
Monitor Yr2	District	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
	State	64% 544 56	68% 545 70	68% 545 54	88% 551 68	79% 549 157	71% 545 168	63% 543 188
Non LEP	District	65% 543 81	70% 544 104	66% 544 86	77% 545 73	73% 545 92	73% 544 78	62% 542 84
	State	69% 545 14,660	74% 546 14,480	75% 547 14,090	74% 546 13,888	77% 547 13,500	75% 547 13,528	75% 546 13,288



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 5 (Grade of Instruction: 4 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Individualized Education Plan

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/5	2008/5	2009/5	2010/5	2011/5	2012/5	2013/5
IEP	District	20% 532 10	23% 536 13	9	6	20% 533 10	5	7
	State	34% 535 2,399	37% 536 2,237	39% 536 2,196	36% 536 2,156	39% 536 2,082	35% 536 2,067	38% 536 1,968
No IEP	District	71% 544 71	76% 545 91	73% 545 77	79% 546 67	79% 546 83	76% 545 74	64% 543 77
	State	75% 546 12,636	79% 547 12,619	81% 548 12,346	79% 548 12,254	82% 549 11,972	80% 548 12,006	79% 548 11,815



■ IEP      ● No IEP

#### Fall Testing Grade: 5 (Grade of Instruction: 4 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Econ. Disadvantage Status

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/5	2008/5	2009/5	2010/5	2011/5	2012/5	2013/5
Econ Dis	District	63% 539 16	73% 543 22	55% 541 29	65% 543 23	68% 542 34	60% 544 25	50% 540 28
	State	49% 539 3,010	55% 540 3,178	58% 541 3,281	57% 541 3,714	62% 542 3,751	57% 542 3,873	56% 541 3,737
Non Econ Dis	District	65% 543 65	70% 544 82	72% 545 57	82% 546 50	76% 547 59	78% 544 54	68% 544 56
	State	73% 546 12,025	78% 547 11,678	80% 548 11,261	78% 548 10,696	81% 548 10,303	81% 548 10,200	80% 548 10,046



■ Econ Dis      ● Non Econ Dis

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional


#### Fall Testing Grade: 5 (Grade of Instruction: 4 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/5	2008/5	2009/5	2010/5	2011/5	2012/5	2013/5
Female	District	64% 542 39	66% 543 56	72% 545 43	75% 545 35	77% 546 48	78% 546 41	64% 542 41
	State	67% 544 7,299	73% 545 7,190	75% 546 7,004	72% 546 6,796	76% 547 6,863	75% 547 6,845	74% 546 6,642
Male	District	64% 543 42	75% 545 48	60% 542 43	79% 545 38	69% 544 45	66% 542 38	61% 542 43
	State	70% 545 7,736	73% 546 7,666	74% 546 7,538	73% 546 7,614	75% 547 7,191	74% 546 7,227	73% 546 7,141

**Gender**  
**Teaching Year Results**  
**For District**




#### Fall Testing Grade: 5 (Grade of Instruction: 4 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/5	2008/5	2009/5	2010/5	2011/5	2012/5	2013/5
Non Title 1	District	66% 543 77	73% 545 100	69% 544 82	78% 545 70	76% 546 86	72% 544 74	65% 543 80
	State	71% 545 13,310	75% 546 13,028	77% 547 12,670	76% 547 12,040	80% 548 11,470	78% 548 11,325	78% 547 11,096
Title 1	District	4	4	4	3	7	5	4
	State	46% 538 1,725	54% 540 1,828	55% 541 1,872	55% 541 2,370	58% 541 2,584	57% 542 2,748	56% 541 2,687

**Title 1 Status**  
**Teaching Year Results**  
**For District**



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 4 (Grade of Instruction: 3 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Primary Race/ Ethnicity

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/4	2008/4	2009/4	2010/4	2011/4	2012/4	2013/4
Asian	District	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	State	78% 449 350	82% 451 389	84% 451 396	80% 450 422	80% 450 418	78% 450 462	81% 451 452
Black	District	1	0	1	1	1	3	0
	State	41% 437 356	49% 438 301	49% 439 317	53% 440 266	47% 439 277	52% 441 253	52% 439 245
Hispanic	District	1	1	1	3	1	3	2
	State	44% 438 523	51% 440 512	56% 440 529	54% 442 557	54% 441 618	58% 442 638	51% 440 719
White	District	70% 445 93	86% 446 90	79% 446 76	71% 446 96	85% 448 76	70% 447 67	70% 446 85
	State	69% 445 13,462	75% 446 13,155	75% 447 12,999	75% 447 12,575	78% 448 12,394	79% 448 12,072	75% 447 12,046



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 4 (Grade of Instruction: 3 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Limited English Proficiency

##### Teaching Year Results

##### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/4	2008/4	2009/4	2010/4	2011/4	2012/4	2013/4
Current LEP	District	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	State	30% 434 292	43% 436 336	46% 438 427	45% 438 375	35% 435 357	35% 434 297	31% 433 267
Monitor Yr1	District	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
	State	58% 442 75	71% 446 62	91% 452 74	77% 448 172	67% 444 177	68% 444 197	56% 442 237
Monitor Yr2	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	State	69% 446 48	67% 444 56	94% 460 16	94% 457 17	89% 453 45	92% 452 37	89% 451 35
Non LEP	District	70% 445 95	86% 447 91	78% 445 78	70% 446 99	86% 448 78	67% 446 74	68% 445 87
	State	68% 445 14,456	74% 446 13,990	75% 447 13,863	75% 447 13,495	78% 448 13,441	78% 448 13,195	74% 447 13,261



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 4 (Grade of Instruction: 3 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/4	2008/4	2009/4	2010/4	2011/4	2012/4	2013/4
IEP	District	34% 440 15	45% 439 11	7	70% 445 10	6	6	18% 431 11
	State	34% 435 2,142	39% 436 2,034	40% 436 2,046	41% 437 1,930	42% 437 1,919	43% 437 1,851	35% 435 1,872
No IEP	District	77% 445 80	92% 448 80	80% 446 71	70% 446 90	88% 449 73	70% 447 68	74% 447 77
	State	73% 446 12,729	79% 448 12,410	79% 448 12,334	80% 449 12,129	82% 450 12,101	82% 450 11,875	78% 449 11,928

#### Individualized Education Plan

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District



■ IEP ● No IEP

#### Fall Testing Grade: 4 (Grade of Instruction: 3 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/4	2008/4	2009/4	2010/4	2011/4	2012/4	2013/4
Econ Dis	District	74% 445 19	81% 444 26	71% 442 17	64% 446 31	80% 446 25	66% 445 29	60% 444 30
	State	47% 439 3,140	57% 441 3,150	59% 442 3,306	61% 443 3,677	61% 442 3,776	61% 442 3,741	55% 441 3,872
Non Econ Dis	District	68% 444 76	88% 448 65	81% 446 61	72% 446 69	87% 449 54	69% 447 45	71% 446 58
	State	73% 446 11,731	78% 448 11,294	79% 448 11,074	79% 449 10,382	82% 450 10,244	83% 450 9,985	80% 449 9,928

#### Econ. Disadvantage Status

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District



■ Econ Dis ● Non Econ Dis

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District


### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 4 (Grade of Instruction: 3 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/4	2008/4	2009/4	2010/4	2011/4	2012/4	2013/4
Female	District	65% 444 54	88% 447 48	81% 445 37	70% 446 47	93% 450 40	70% 448 34	70% 446 37
	State	66% 444 7,210	73% 446 6,960	74% 447 6,783	74% 447 6,840	77% 448 6,796	77% 448 6,627	73% 447 6,865
Male	District	75% 445 41	84% 446 43	76% 446 41	70% 446 53	77% 446 39	66% 445 40	65% 445 51
	State	69% 445 7,661	74% 446 7,484	74% 447 7,597	74% 447 7,219	77% 448 7,224	77% 448 7,099	72% 447 6,935




#### Fall Testing Grade: 4 (Grade of Instruction: 3 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/4	2008/4	2009/4	2010/4	2011/4	2012/4	2013/4
Non Title 1	District	72% 445 92	88% 447 86	78% 445 78	73% 447 94	85% 448 73	69% 447 70	70% 446 83
	State	71% 446 12,773	77% 447 12,446	78% 448 12,302	77% 448 11,699	80% 449 11,464	81% 449 10,994	77% 448 10,931
Title 1	District	3	5	0	6	6	4	5
	State	46% 439 2,098	54% 440 1,998	57% 442 2,078	57% 442 2,360	59% 442 2,556	62% 443 2,732	56% 441 2,869



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 3 (Grade of Instruction: 2 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Primary Race/ Ethnicity

#### Teaching Year Results

#### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/3	2008/3	2009/3	2010/3	2011/3	2012/3	2013/3
Asian	District	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
	State	81% 349 376	82% 350 405	81% 350 437	80% 349 406	75% 349 452	81% 351 446	75% 348 459
Black	District	0	2	1	1	2	0	0
	State	52% 339 285	46% 338 327	53% 341 289	49% 339 281	52% 341 247	50% 339 241	45% 338 251
Hispanic	District	2	1	4	0	2	2	4
	State	47% 338 496	46% 339 504	58% 342 521	55% 341 614	57% 341 618	54% 341 685	48% 339 754
White	District	80% 346 83	76% 347 93	76% 347 89	92% 350 73	80% 349 69	71% 347 85	75% 346 74
	State	74% 346 13,215	73% 346 12,960	78% 347 12,671	77% 348 12,431	78% 348 12,096	76% 347 12,003	72% 346 11,559



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 3 (Grade of Instruction: 2 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Limited English Proficiency

##### Teaching Year Results

##### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/3	2008/3	2009/3	2010/3	2011/3	2012/3	2013/3
Current LEP	District	0	0	0	1	0	1	0
	State	42% 337 351	41% 338 407	52% 341 515	43% 338 511	45% 338 480	43% 338 466	40% 337 576
Monitor Yr1	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	State	59% 341 61	81% 350 21	89% 356 18	89% 352 46	89% 352 38	87% 350 39	100% 352 32
Monitor Yr2	District	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	State	77% 345 42	70% 345 60			100% 355 10	100% 354 10	
Non LEP	District	81% 347 85	74% 346 96	73% 346 94	94% 350 73	75% 348 74	69% 346 87	76% 347 79
	State	73% 346 13,997	73% 346 13,804	77% 347 13,541	77% 347 13,433	78% 348 13,190	75% 347 13,197	71% 346 12,807



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District

### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 3 (Grade of Instruction: 2 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Individualized Education Plan

##### Teaching Year Results

##### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/3	2008/3	2009/3	2010/3	2011/3	2012/3	2013/3
IEP	District	66% 342 12	10% 333 10	40% 339 10	7 7	6 6	9 9	6 6
	State	43% 337 1,867	40% 336 1,737	44% 338 1,775	45% 338 1,652	45% 338 1,649	43% 337 1,711	36% 336 1,753
No IEP	District	84% 347 73	82% 348 86	77% 347 84	94% 350 67	79% 349 68	74% 347 79	81% 348 73
	State	77% 347 12,584	76% 347 12,555	81% 348 12,302	80% 348 12,343	80% 349 12,069	79% 348 12,001	75% 347 11,665



#### Fall Testing Grade: 3 (Grade of Instruction: 2 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.


#### Econ. Disadvantage Status

##### Teaching Year Results

##### For District

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/3	2008/3	2009/3	2010/3	2011/3	2012/3	2013/3
Econ Dis	District	60% 345 10	51% 342 16	69% 343 19	90% 346 20	66% 347 33	55% 343 29	70% 345 37
	State	56% 340 3,044	54% 340 3,126	62% 343 3,216	61% 342 3,574	61% 343 3,603	58% 342 3,795	53% 340 3,890
Non Econ Dis	District	84% 347 75	79% 347 80	75% 347 75	93% 351 54	83% 349 41	76% 348 59	81% 348 42
	State	77% 347 11,407	76% 347 11,166	81% 348 10,861	81% 349 10,421	82% 349 10,115	80% 348 9,917	77% 347 9,528



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.

# GAP analysis for NECAP Percent Proficient or above by Program

## Math Teaching Year report for District


### Mascenic Regional

#### Fall Testing Grade: 3 (Grade of Instruction: 2 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/3	2008/3	2009/3	2010/3	2011/3	2012/3	2013/3
Female	District	80% 347 44	80% 347 45	79% 348 44	91% 349 37	71% 348 31	72% 346 39	67% 346 36
	State	72% 345 6,956	71% 345 6,742	77% 347 6,839	76% 347 6,786	75% 347 6,624	72% 346 6,807	69% 345 6,504
Male	District	83% 346 41	69% 346 51	68% 345 50	92% 350 37	79% 348 43	67% 346 49	84% 348 43
	State	74% 346 7,495	73% 346 7,549	76% 347 7,238	77% 347 7,209	78% 347 7,094	75% 347 6,905	71% 346 6,914




#### Fall Testing Grade: 3 (Grade of Instruction: 2 )

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is fall testing grade.

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall test year/ fall grade		2007/3	2008/3	2009/3	2010/3	2011/3	2012/3	2013/3
Non Title 1	District	85% 347 79	75% 347 95	75% 347 91	91% 350 68	77% 349 68	71% 347 83	78% 348 74
	State	76% 346 12,349	74% 346 12,241	80% 348 11,963	80% 348 11,548	80% 348 11,153	78% 348 10,798	76% 347 10,387
Title 1	District	6	1	3	6	6	5	5
	State	55% 340 2,102	56% 341 2,051	61% 342 2,114	58% 342 2,447	61% 343 2,565	57% 342 2,914	52% 340 3,031



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). If there are less than 10 students tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source for report: Disaggregated results teaching year.