


# NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for School

### Beaver Meadow School-26490-Concord

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
06	School	62% 342 64	57% 440 67	59% 542 66	59% 642 56
	District	69% 345 307	69% 445 316	71% 546 310	65% 643 293
	State	76% 347 13,995	76% 448 14,020	74% 547 14,073	70% 645 14,081
05	School	0 0	63% 343 57	68% 446 51	74% 546 50
	District	0 0	69% 346 340	71% 446 326	73% 546 323
	State	0 0	76% 347 13,718	77% 448 13,726	73% 546 13,783
04	School	0 0	0 0	59% 343 68	52% 442 69
	District	0 0	0 0	70% 345 328	66% 445 333
	State	0 0	0 0	74% 346 13,712	73% 447 13,800
03	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	76% 347 53
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	72% 345 305
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	70% 345 13,418



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


# NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for School

### Beaver Meadow School-26490-Concord

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
06	School	63% 342 56	59% 441 56	63% 543 54	63% 643 48
	District	73% 346 259	74% 446 259	75% 546 259	71% 645 240
	State	77% 348 12,431	78% 448 12,394	75% 547 12,422	72% 645 12,381
05	School	0 0	64% 344 49	69% 446 45	75% 546 44
	District	0 0	72% 347 296	73% 448 275	75% 547 270
	State	0 0	78% 348 12,096	79% 448 12,072	74% 546 12,118
04	School	0 0	0 0	58% 343 60	52% 443 61
	District	0 0	0 0	73% 346 274	70% 447 276
	State	0 0	0 0	76% 347 12,003	75% 447 12,046
03	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	76% 347 46
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	72% 346 251
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	72% 346 11,559



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


## NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

### Math Teaching Year Report for School

#### Beaver Meadow School-26490-Concord

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
06	School	63% 342 37	58% 439 40	49% 540 39	53% 639 34
	District	72% 346 166	70% 445 168	70% 545 164	65% 643 157
	State	77% 347 7,209	77% 448 7,224	74% 546 7,227	69% 645 7,265
05	School	0 0	72% 345 32	69% 448 29	77% 547 30
	District	0 0	72% 346 182	73% 447 169	76% 547 171
	State	0 0	78% 347 7,094	77% 448 7,099	73% 546 7,141
04	School	0 0	0 0	68% 346 35	60% 444 38
	District	0 0	0 0	74% 346 175	69% 447 174
	State	0 0	0 0	75% 347 6,905	72% 447 6,935
03	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	69% 346 29
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	73% 346 162
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	71% 346 6,914



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


## NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

### Math Teaching Year Report for School

#### Beaver Meadow School-26490-Concord

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>06</b>	School	63% 342 27	56% 443 27	75% 545 27	68% 647 22
	District	66% 344 141	67% 445 148	73% 546 146	64% 644 136
	State	76% 347 6,786	77% 448 6,796	75% 547 6,845	72% 645 6,816
<b>05</b>	School	0 0	52% 342 25	68% 442 22	70% 545 20
	District	0 0	68% 346 158	70% 446 157	71% 545 152
	State	0 0	75% 347 6,624	77% 448 6,627	74% 546 6,642
<b>04</b>	School	0 0	0 0	48% 341 33	42% 441 31
	District	0 0	0 0	65% 344 153	61% 444 159
	State	0 0	0 0	72% 346 6,807	73% 447 6,865
<b>03</b>	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	83% 348 24
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	69% 345 143
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	69% 345 6,504



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


# NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

## Reading Teaching Year Report for School

### Beaver Meadow School-26490-Concord

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
06	School	64% 340 64	58% 440 67	64% 544 65	65% 643 56
	District	70% 345 303	69% 445 315	72% 546 309	70% 646 292
	State	80% 348 13,959	79% 448 13,996	77% 548 14,047	77% 648 14,071
05	School	0 0	79% 345 56	75% 447 51	86% 550 50
	District	0 0	75% 346 339	74% 446 321	79% 548 322
	State	0 0	81% 349 13,698	78% 448 13,697	79% 548 13,765
04	School	0 0	0 0	58% 342 68	60% 442 69
	District	0 0	0 0	68% 345 327	64% 444 329
	State	0 0	0 0	78% 348 13,687	75% 447 13,775
03	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	71% 346 53
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	68% 345 304
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	77% 348 13,390



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


## NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

### Reading Teaching Year Report for School

#### Beaver Meadow School-26490-Concord

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>06</b>	School	62% 340 56	61% 440 56	69% 545 54	67% 645 48
	District	72% 345 259	72% 447 259	76% 547 259	71% 647 240
	State	81% 348 12,426	80% 448 12,388	79% 548 12,422	78% 649 12,388
<b>05</b>	School	0 0	75% 345 49	75% 447 45	84% 549 44
	District	0 0	77% 347 296	76% 447 275	81% 548 270
	State	0 0	82% 349 12,099	80% 448 12,069	80% 549 12,118
<b>04</b>	School	0 0	0 0	60% 342 60	64% 443 61
	District	0 0	0 0	71% 346 274	70% 445 276
	State	0 0	0 0	79% 349 12,003	77% 447 12,048
<b>03</b>	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	72% 345 46
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	69% 345 251
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	78% 349 11,558



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


# NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

## Reading Teaching Year Report for School

### Beaver Meadow School-26490-Concord

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
06	School	54% 337 37	48% 436 40	55% 540 38	47% 638 34
	District	66% 343 163	59% 443 168	66% 544 163	60% 643 156
	State	76% 346 7,192	74% 446 7,216	74% 546 7,214	71% 645 7,260
05	School	0 0	81% 345 32	83% 448 29	87% 550 30
	District	0 0	74% 345 182	73% 445 167	80% 547 171
	State	0 0	78% 347 7,087	74% 447 7,082	73% 546 7,132
04	School	0 0	0 0	63% 343 35	68% 443 38
	District	0 0	0 0	70% 345 174	65% 444 171
	State	0 0	0 0	75% 347 6,897	71% 445 6,925
03	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	73% 344 29
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	67% 344 161
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	74% 347 6,898



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


## NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

### Reading Teaching Year Report for School

#### Beaver Meadow School-26490-Concord

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>06</b>	School	78% 345 27	74% 445 27	78% 549 27	91% 651 22
	District	75% 346 140	79% 448 147	78% 549 146	81% 650 136
	State	83% 350 6,767	83% 450 6,780	81% 550 6,832	84% 651 6,811
<b>05</b>	School	0 0	76% 345 24	64% 445 22	85% 549 20
	District	0 0	78% 348 157	73% 447 154	77% 548 151
	State	0 0	84% 351 6,611	81% 449 6,615	85% 551 6,633
<b>04</b>	School	0 0	0 0	51% 341 33	48% 441 31
	District	0 0	0 0	64% 345 153	63% 444 158
	State	0 0	0 0	81% 350 6,790	79% 449 6,850
<b>03</b>	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	71% 349 24
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	69% 346 143
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	79% 350 6,492



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.