


# NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for School

### Lisbon Regional School (Elem)-21420-Lisbon Regional

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
06	School	89% 351 19	85% 447 20	61% 545 28	78% 646 27
	District	89% 351 19	85% 447 20	61% 545 28	79% 646 28
	State	76% 347 13,995	76% 448 14,020	74% 547 14,073	70% 645 14,081
05	School	0 0	85% 349 21	73% 446 22	83% 548 28
	District	0 0	85% 349 21	73% 446 22	83% 548 28
	State	0 0	76% 347 13,718	77% 448 13,726	73% 546 13,783
04	School	0 0	0 0	86% 349 27	82% 449 28
	District	0 0	0 0	86% 349 27	82% 449 28
	State	0 0	0 0	74% 346 13,712	73% 447 13,800
03	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	81% 346 21
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	81% 346 21
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	70% 345 13,418



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


## NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

### Math Teaching Year Report for School

#### Lisbon Regional School (Elem)-21420-Lisbon Regional

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
06	School	89% 351 19	85% 447 20	61% 545 28	77% 646 26
	District	89% 351 19	85% 447 20	61% 545 28	78% 646 27
	State	77% 348 12,431	78% 448 12,394	75% 547 12,422	72% 645 12,381
05	School	0 0	85% 349 21	73% 446 22	83% 548 28
	District	0 0	85% 349 21	73% 446 22	83% 548 28
	State	0 0	78% 348 12,096	79% 448 12,072	74% 546 12,118
04	School	0 0	0 0	86% 349 27	85% 449 27
	District	0 0	0 0	86% 349 27	85% 449 27
	State	0 0	0 0	76% 347 12,003	75% 447 12,046
03	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	81% 346 21
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	81% 346 21
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	72% 346 11,559



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


## NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

### Math Teaching Year Report for School

#### Lisbon Regional School (Elem)-21420-Lisbon Regional

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
06	School	84% 352 13	84% 448 13	69% 546 13	77% 648 13
	District	84% 352 13	84% 448 13	69% 546 13	78% 648 14
	State	77% 347 7,209	77% 448 7,224	74% 546 7,227	69% 645 7,265
05	School	0 0	86% 349 14	78% 446 14	75% 546 16
	District	0 0	86% 349 14	78% 446 14	75% 546 16
	State	0 0	78% 347 7,094	77% 448 7,099	73% 546 7,141
04	School	0 0	0 0	82% 350 16	82% 450 17
	District	0 0	0 0	82% 350 16	82% 450 17
	State	0 0	0 0	75% 347 6,905	72% 447 6,935
03	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	9
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	9
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	71% 346 6,914



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


# NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for School

### Lisbon Regional School (Elem)-21420-Lisbon Regional

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
06	School	6	7	53% 544 15	78% 644 14
	District	6	7	53% 544 15	78% 644 14
	State	76% 347 6,786	77% 448 6,796	75% 547 6,845	72% 645 6,816
05	School	0	7	8	91% 550 12
	District	0	7	8	91% 550 12
	State	0	75% 347 6,624	77% 448 6,627	74% 546 6,642
04	School	0	0	91% 346 11	82% 446 11
	District	0	0	91% 346 11	82% 446 11
	State	0	0	72% 346 6,807	73% 447 6,865
03	School	0	0	0	75% 345 12
	District	0	0	0	75% 345 12
	State	0	0	0	69% 345 6,504



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


# NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

## Reading Teaching Year Report for School

### Lisbon Regional School (Elem)-21420-Lisbon Regional

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>06</b>	School	84% 349 19	80% 448 20	82% 550 28	92% 654 27
	District	84% 349 19	80% 448 20	82% 550 28	92% 655 28
	State	80% 348 13,959	79% 448 13,996	77% 548 14,047	77% 648 14,071
<b>05</b>	School	0 0	86% 350 21	64% 443 22	75% 551 28
	District	0 0	86% 350 21	64% 443 22	75% 551 28
	State	0 0	81% 349 13,698	78% 448 13,697	79% 548 13,765
<b>04</b>	School	0 0	0 0	85% 350 27	72% 444 28
	District	0 0	0 0	85% 350 27	72% 444 28
	State	0 0	0 0	78% 348 13,687	75% 447 13,775
<b>03</b>	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	58% 342 21
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	58% 342 21
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	77% 348 13,390



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


## NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

### Reading Teaching Year Report for School

#### Lisbon Regional School (Elem)-21420-Lisbon Regional

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
<b>06</b>	School	84% 349 19	80% 448 20	82% 550 28	92% 654 26
	District	84% 349 19	80% 448 20	82% 550 28	92% 655 27
	State	81% 348 12,426	80% 448 12,388	79% 548 12,422	78% 649 12,388
<b>05</b>	School	0 0	86% 350 21	64% 443 22	75% 551 28
	District	0 0	86% 350 21	64% 443 22	75% 551 28
	State	0 0	82% 349 12,099	80% 448 12,069	80% 549 12,118
<b>04</b>	School	0 0	0 0	85% 350 27	74% 444 27
	District	0 0	0 0	85% 350 27	74% 444 27
	State	0 0	0 0	79% 349 12,003	77% 447 12,048
<b>03</b>	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	58% 342 21
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	58% 342 21
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	78% 349 11,558



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


## NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

### Reading Teaching Year Report for School

#### Lisbon Regional School (Elem)-21420-Lisbon Regional

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
06	School	77% 346 13	76% 448 13	77% 548 13	85% 651 13
	District	77% 346 13	76% 448 13	77% 548 13	86% 652 14
	State	76% 346 7,192	74% 446 7,216	74% 546 7,214	71% 645 7,260
05	School	0 0	85% 349 14	57% 443 14	63% 548 16
	District	0 0	85% 349 14	57% 443 14	63% 548 16
	State	0 0	78% 347 7,087	74% 447 7,082	73% 546 7,132
04	School	0 0	0 0	88% 348 16	71% 442 17
	District	0 0	0 0	88% 348 16	71% 442 17
	State	0 0	0 0	75% 347 6,897	71% 445 6,925
03	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	9
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	9
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	74% 347 6,898



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.


# NECAP Percent Proficient or above "Cohort" History

## Reading Teaching Year Report for School

### Lisbon Regional School (Elem)-21420-Lisbon Regional

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this School during the academic year prior to the year reported. Grade is the fall 2013 testing grade, Year is Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP). Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

2013 test Grade		2010	2011	2012	2013
06	School	6	7	87% 551 15	100% 658 14
	District	6	7	87% 551 15	100% 658 14
	State	83% 350 6,767	83% 450 6,780	81% 550 6,832	84% 651 6,811
05	School	0 0	7	8	91% 554 12
	District	0 0	7	8	91% 554 12
	State	0 0	84% 351 6,611	81% 449 6,615	85% 551 6,633
04	School	0 0	0 0	81% 352 11	73% 445 11
	District	0 0	0 0	81% 352 11	73% 445 11
	State	0 0	0 0	81% 350 6,790	79% 449 6,850
03	School	0 0	0 0	0 0	58% 342 12
	District	0 0	0 0	0 0	58% 342 12
	State	0 0	0 0	0 0	79% 350 6,492



To use this chart start from the right-hand side to find the 2013 results for the grade listed. Go left one year to the 2012 results. This is the result for roughly that same "cohort" of students for the previous grade. Continue back through the years and descending grades. For example: Grade 8 2013, Grade 7 2012, Grade 6 2011, Grade 5 2010, Grade 4 2009. Each year new students are added and others leave so this does not represent a true "cohort". Note that grade 4 will only have 2 points and grade 3 will have 1 point (2013 result). Also note that results will only be shown for years when the student was taught in the school (or district).

These results are for the students who were instructed in the previous teaching year grade. For example: Fall Testing grade 5 is reporting the performance of teaching year grade 4.

Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Cohort report does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then results are not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching year.