

# NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for District

### Lisbon Regional


#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 11

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2007/5	2008/6	2009/7	2010/8	2013/11
District	40%	67%	66%	69%	38%
	539	644	743	844	1136
	30	27	32	35	34
State	69%	69%	66%	66%	36%
	545	645	744	843	1136
	15,035	15,023	15,189	15,208	14,051


#### All Students Teaching Year Results



Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2007/5	2008/6	2009/7	2010/8	2013/11
District	40%	67%	64%	68%	38%
	539	644	743	844	1136
	30	27	31	34	34
State	69%	70%	68%	67%	38%
	545	645	744	844	1136
	13,740	13,783	13,871	13,777	12,684


#### White (non-Hispanic) Teaching Year Results



Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2007/5	2008/6	2009/7	2010/8	2013/11
District	43%	73%	80%	76%	35%
	541	645	745	845	1134
	14	11	15	17	17
State	70%	69%	66%	66%	38%
	545	645	744	843	1136
	7,736	7,748	7,791	7,811	7,233

#### Male Teaching Year Results



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipted Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching

# NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for District


### Lisbon Regional

#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 11

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2007/5	2008/6	2009/7	2010/8	2013/11
District	38%	63%	53%	61%	41%
	538	643	741	843	1137
	16	16	17	18	17
State	67%	68%	66%	65%	36%
	544	644	744	843	1136
	7,299	7,275	7,398	7,397	6,817



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipted Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching

# NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for District


### Lisbon Regional

#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 08

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).


Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2008/3	2009/4	2010/5	2011/6	2012/7	2013/8
District	73%	64%	48%	58%	74%	79%
	345	444	543	646	744	845
	22	25	27	26	31	29
State	72%	75%	73%	72%	69%	64%
	345	447	546	647	745	843
	14,292	14,380	14,410	14,407	14,509	14,481




Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2008/3	2009/4	2010/5	2011/6	2012/7	2013/8
District	70%	68%	48%	57%	72%	78%
	345	445	542	645	743	844
	20	22	25	23	29	27
State	73%	75%	75%	74%	71%	66%
	346	447	547	647	745	844
	12,960	12,999	12,940	12,864	12,952	12,908



Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2008/3	2009/4	2010/5	2011/6	2012/7	2013/8
District	66%	46%	43%	42%	59%	67%
	342	439	541	643	742	842
	12	13	14	14	17	15
State	73%	74%	73%	73%	67%	62%
	346	447	546	646	745	843
	7,549	7,597	7,614	7,609	7,647	7,629



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipated Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching

## NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

### Math Teaching Year Report for District


#### Lisbon Regional

#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 08

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2008/3	2009/4	2010/5	2011/6	2012/7	2013/8
District	80%	83%	53%	75%	92%	93%
	348	449	545	649	746	848
	10	12	13	12	14	14
State	71%	74%	72%	73%	70%	67%
	345	447	546	647	745	844
	6,742	6,783	6,796	6,798	6,861	6,852



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipted Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching

# NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for District

### Lisbon Regional


#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 07

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2009/3	2010/4	2011/5	2012/6	2013/7
District	78% 348 23	71% 446 21	67% 547 27	82% 646 28	79% 747 24
State	76% 347 14,077	74% 447 14,059	76% 547 14,054	74% 646 14,088	69% 745 14,198


#### All Students Teaching Year Results



Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2009/3	2010/4	2011/5	2012/6	2013/7
District	78% 348 23	71% 446 21	67% 547 27	82% 646 28	79% 747 24
State	78% 347 12,671	75% 447 12,575	77% 547 12,542	76% 647 12,510	69% 745 12,589


#### White (non-Hispanic) Teaching Year Results



Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2009/3	2010/4	2011/5	2012/6	2013/7
District			70% 547 10	91% 647 11	
State	76% 347 7,238	74% 447 7,219	75% 547 7,191	73% 646 7,231	67% 744 7,297

#### Male Teaching Year Results



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipted Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching

## NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

### Math Teaching Year Report for District


#### Lisbon Regional

#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 07

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2009/3	2010/4	2011/5	2012/6	2013/7
District	75% 347 16	71% 445 14	64% 547 17	76% 645 17	83% 748 17
State	77% 347 6,839	74% 447 6,840	76% 547 6,863	75% 646 6,857	71% 745 6,901



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipted Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching

# NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for District


### Lisbon Regional

#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 06

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).


Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2010/3	2011/4	2012/5	2013/6
District	89% 351 19	85% 447 20	61% 545 28	79% 646 28
State	76% 347 13,995	76% 448 14,020	74% 547 14,073	70% 645 14,081




Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2010/3	2011/4	2012/5	2013/6
District	89% 351 19	85% 447 20	61% 545 28	78% 646 27
State	77% 348 12,431	78% 448 12,394	75% 547 12,422	72% 645 12,381



Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2010/3	2011/4	2012/5	2013/6
District	84% 352 13	84% 448 13	69% 546 13	78% 648 14
State	77% 347 7,209	77% 448 7,224	74% 546 7,227	69% 645 7,265



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipated Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching

# NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for District


### Lisbon Regional

#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 06

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2010/3	2011/4	2012/5	2013/6
District			53% 544 15	78% 644 14
State	76% 347 6,786	77% 448 6,796	75% 547 6,845	72% 645 6,816



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipted Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching

# NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for District


### Lisbon Regional

#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 05

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).


Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2011/3	2012/4	2013/5
District	85% 349 21	73% 446 22	83% 548 28
State	76% 347 13,718	77% 448 13,726	73% 546 13,783




Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2011/3	2012/4	2013/5
District	85% 349 21	73% 446 22	83% 548 28
State	78% 348 12,096	79% 448 12,072	74% 546 12,118



Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2011/3	2012/4	2013/5
District	86% 349 14	78% 446 14	75% 546 16
State	78% 347 7,094	77% 448 7,099	73% 546 7,141



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipated Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching

# NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for District


### Lisbon Regional

#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 05

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2011/3	2012/4	2013/5
District	7	8	91% 550 12
State	75% 347 6,624	77% 448 6,627	74% 546 6,642



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipted Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching

# NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for District


### Lisbon Regional

#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 04

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).


Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2012/3	2013/4
District	86% 349 27	82% 449 28
State	74% 346 13,712	73% 447 13,800




Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2012/3	2013/4
District	86% 349 27	85% 449 27
State	76% 347 12,003	75% 447 12,046



Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2012/3	2013/4
District	82% 350 16	82% 450 17
State	75% 347 6,905	72% 447 6,935



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipated Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching

# NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for District


### Lisbon Regional

#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 04

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).

Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2012/3	2013/4
District	91% 346 11	82% 446 11
State	72% 346 6,807	73% 447 6,865



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipted Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching

# NECAP Percent Proficient or above for "Cohort" History

## Math Teaching Year Report for District


### Lisbon Regional

#### Fall 2013 Grade of "Cohort": 03

Fall NECAP results for students who attended this District during the academic year prior to the year reported (teaching results). Grade is the fall testing grade, Year is the Fall Testing Year. Note: Disaggregation is not reported if inclusion by cohort is not usually consistent year to year (ex: IEP).


Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2013/3
District	81% 346 21
State	70% 345 13,418




Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2013/3
District	81% 346 21
State	72% 346 11,559



Line 1: Percent Proficient or above, Line 2: Avg Scaled Score, Line 3: Number Tested

Fall yr /grade	2013/3
District	75% 345 12
State	69% 345 6,504



Note: This report includes NECAP data only. Students who did not take the test for any reason are NOT included. The denominator for percent proficient is number of students tested by NECAP (which is not a true representation of the student population). A student's assignment to a school or district in this report is not contingent on continuous enrollment (as it is in AYP reporting). Anticipted Graduating Class does not represent a true cohort. If less than 10 students are tested then data is not reported. Ethnic group designations have changed over time. Please see website for more information. Data source: Disaggregated results teaching