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Data Systems Overview: a focus on Student Level Data Systems and Privacy
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Executive Summary

The New Hampshire Department of Education (NH DOE) is a national leader in our collaboration at the local school level to have educators in our K-12 schools use data to inform instruction. Educators use data to identify gaps in curriculum and assessment; to gauge individual student needs and target learning; to group students for instruction and to assist student learning in many other ways. NH DOE has built an infrastructure and a culture that values the use of data. Our culture highlights the use of multiple measures and student growth to help guide the learning of students. Our practices promote a cooperative culture with the primary focus on the improvement of students, as a collective goal, with a secondary need for accountability to identify cases where student needs are not being served.

Student data is driving instruction within our schools, but many different stakeholders throughout the state also use student aggregate data to make critical decisions. School funding is driven based on student data or demographics in each town and school. Parents make decisions about where to live based upon available school data. Education and legislative experts advocate for policy and programmatic decisions based upon aggregate data.

Driven by legislation established by the NH legislature in 2004, the NH DOE built an information technology (IT) infrastructure and a support structure dedicated to building a data driven culture, enabling stakeholders throughout the state to make educational decisions based on data. The IT infrastructure incorporates both security and privacy. Security by protecting the system and the data from unwanted actions of unauthorized users and privacy by ensuring that the right people have access to the right data. The infrastructure has a narrow focus in regards to the scope of data included. New Hampshire’s efforts are built on the guiding principle that we need to help teachers and the public use and understand existing data with a focus on multiple assessment measures, and that we do not collect data for the sake of quantity. A successful solution is not about building an ever-expanding source of data, but rather focusing on the critical data needed to inform great teaching.

The NH DOE has developed policies, processes and procedures as described in this document to meet the goals outlined above. This document will walk you through a historical summary describing the evolution of today’s system; a summary of what data is collected and used by
schools and the public (both data maintained in the State Longitudinal Data System (SLDS) as well as the other DOE databases); a review of the technology used to secure the data and a summary of the training and ongoing efforts to develop a data rich culture in New Hampshire.

For more information, please contact the NH Department of Education and ask for the Division of Program Support.

As described, this document is divided into five sections:

1. Historical Review - Evolution leading to the current NH DOE data systems
2. Summary of Collected Data
3. Data Security and Privacy
4. Data Sharing and Training - Building a Data Rich Culture
5. Contacts
Prior to 2004, the data collections for all students in the state were based on aggregate reports submitted by districts and schools. For example, schools provided “Total Number of student transferring to another NH Public School” or “Total Number of students who graduated” or “Total Number of white students who dropped out”, etc. In 2005, the DOE embarked on a student level data collection that today can be used to answer these and many other questions. Most of the aggregate enrollment, drop-out and related reports are no longer collected from schools. The reports are generated from the new student level data.

Three primary drivers for this transition to student level data included improved reliability/validity of the data, reduced data submission for schools and finally the ability to connect data required to answer more complex questions and help schools drive student instruction.

With aggregate data collections it was evident that the data lacked quality. For example, we might have reports from schools indicating there were many more students transferring to another public school, than the number of students arriving from a public school. Although, this type of data inaccuracy was identified, it was not possible to zero in on the cause of the data error. With a student level data collection we are now able to identify the record in error and ask the school to correct the error. The first year of the student data collection, we identified about 400 students who were being reported by two schools as enrolled full-time in both schools. With a student level data collection we were able to resolve these types of errors and eliminate any double-payments that might have occurred as a result of paying both schools for the same student.

Prior to the student level collection, schools had to aggregate data for the Department and report the aggregates by required subgroups. For example, they would have to breakdown enrollment by gender, race, etc. With student level collections, the Department can now do the aggregation. For example, we already know a student’s gender or race, so by identifying attendance we can automatically aggregate the enrollment data by race and gender. Additionally, as more complex analysis is required we do not have to ask schools for additional data. For example, the NH DOE was able to provide answers to the state legislature when asked to estimate the impact of potential legislation that would offer aid to
schools for students ‘who did not demonstrate reading proficiency and were also not on an Individual Education Plan or eligible for free or reduced support. Without the individual student data we would have been unable to perform this analysis. We would have had to ask schools to summarize and submit the data themselves.

As just described we can now perform more complex analyses. But perhaps more important than the above improvements, school educators can leverage this data to inform instruction. Schools across the state are driving instruction as a result of analyzing student data. They are looking at the impact of education programs on student results. Educators are identifying needed interventions based upon student performance. Schools are beginning to create student learning objectives (SLOs) that rely on this data to monitor educator performance in light of student success. The high quality data is enabling legislators to make policy decisions, educators to modify instruction, public citizens to explore and compare school results, and the state to hold schools accountable for student results.

Even though 2005 was the first time the NH DOE collected student level data for all students, the Department has been collecting individual student data about subgroups of students for decades. This has been and remains vital to ensure students receive the required funding and services from schools and from the state. For example, our Vocation Rehabilitation program offers vocational services to individuals including many student age clients. To provide services, the program maintains information about their clients. These clients choose to participate in the program and receive services. The same is true for other subgroups of students such as English Learners and Special Education Students - these groups also receive services and the NH DOE must ensure schools are serving these students appropriately. Separate data systems are used to manage these programs.

Table 1: List of State Law Related to Student Data Collection (State Longitudinal Data System (SLDS))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Law</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Delivery of an Adequate Education: requires reporting of aggregate data</td>
<td>193-E:3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Level Collection Definitions: defines student data warehouse</td>
<td>193-E:4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique Pupil Identification: creates requirements for management of student data</td>
<td>193-E:5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data Inventory and Sharing: refines privacy, security and transparency requirements</td>
<td>189-65 to 189-68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
As an outgrowth of SB 333 in 2004 (RSA 193-E:5), the NH Department of Education launched the Initiative for School Empowerment (i4see) and developed a data system funded by federal grants including a Statewide Longitudinal Data System grant (SLDS). This SLDS is the origin of today’s state-wide student level data warehouse. This database contains de-identified student level information for every publicly funded student. This database contains only student numbers and not student names. As described above, this information is used to determine school funding, to hold schools accountable for an adequate education, to inform legislative policy and to help schools improve instruction.

In addition to this student collection for all public students, there are many additional collections of student subgroups within the state. For example, the state is required to ensure all students eligible for special education services receive appropriate services, or students eligible for vocational rehabilitation schools are identified so that the state can provide available services. The NHAIMs system allows families of students who are blind to obtain support materials. These additional collections often carry personal information such as home address so that appropriate services can be provided. However, for the larger general collection this personal data is not required and therefore personal information (as prescribed by state law), is not collected for the SLDS.

The table below identifies the primary systems used at the DOE to manage student data. It highlights the purpose and primary authorizing law. In addition to the databases below, the NH DOE also maintains a random number generator that generates a unique pupil identifier (aka. SASID - state assigned student identifier) for each student. Additionally a secured database maintains an isolated and encrypted key that allows the connection between name and student identifier. This is accessible only by the schools educating the student through applications controlled by security roles, as well as, a small number of identified NH DOE employees who are named by the commissioner and work with schools to ensure the quality of the data. These systems are governed by RSA 193-E.
The following collections are maintained as part of the NH Department of Education Data System.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Collection / 2015 DOE Data Contact</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Purpose</th>
<th>Personally Identifiable and Personal Data as defined in RSA 189:68 Student Privacy</th>
<th>Additional Data</th>
<th>Authorizing State or Federal Law (not comprehensive, but identifies primary legislation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| i4see Data Collection & SLDS       | The i4see data collection is a series of student level data submissions that schools submit to the DOE throughout the year. These submissions are provided with a student ID and with the exception of date of birth, no other personally identifiable data is included. Submissions include beginning of the year, end of year, students eligible for free and reduced lunch/milk, and classroom rosters. The SLDS then combines the i4see data with other program data required to meet state and federal laws. | • calculation of adequacy aid funding  
• state reporting  
• school accountability  
• legislative considerations  
• parent access to aggregate data  
• local school / educator improvement  
• Federal reporting | i4see: SASID (State assigned student id), date of birth. This system does not contain student names. | i4see: status of enrollment (e.g. living in district), sau, district and school number, town responsible for tuition, district responsible for tuition, student grade, days absent, days in attendance, promoted indicator, type of diploma, post graduation plans, days suspended in and out of school, homeless code, original graduation year, title I involvement, advanced placement course, eligibility for free or reduced priced meals, course assignments. SLDS: additional data incorporated into the SLDS includes very basic information from programs described below. None of this data is personally identifiable. Data includes (English as Second Language)ESOL status, career and technical education involvement, post-secondary | Delivery of an Adequate Education: 193-E;  
Data Inventory and Sharing: refines privacy, security and transparency requirements 189-65 to 68. |
The NH Accessible Instructional Materials system allows individuals to borrow American Printing House for the Blind (APH) materials and products purchased through the Federal Quota Program for New Hampshire blind and visually impaired students eligible under the Federal Act to Promote the Education of the Blind.

The personal information is required to send the materials to the individual requesting the support. This service is optional.

### NH AIM

- To provide support instructional materials for families in need.
- Name, date of birth, address (optional).
- Materials being requested.

### ESOL Data Collection

This data collection is only for students who are eligible for English language services (EL Services). A roster of

- calculation of adequacy aid funding
- state reporting
- school
- SASID, country of origin.
- SAU, district, school, month/year entered US
- Schools, years of foreign education, primary language, program status, enrollment.
### DATA USE & STUDENT PRIVACY

Students is collected in October and February.

- Federal reporting
- Federal accountability
- Legislative considerations
- Parent access
- Local school / educator improvement
- Status, classroom setting, results from ACCESS for ELLs test, title III information, proficiency and improvement status (y/n).

### Adult Education

The NH Adult Education Data System requires submissions from local adult education programs funded by NH Department of Education. Adult learner information is entered at the time of registration and updated throughout the year. Local adult education center directors and their designated data entry staff can see information about students enrolled in their programs but cannot access personal information about:

- Date of birth, name, address, telephone, country of origin.
- Race, employment status, employer, highest previous education, pre-test scores or high school credits, program enrollments, class enrollments, dates of enrollment and termination, post-test scores or high school credits, correctional connection, hours of attendance, attainment and date of high school diploma or equivalency, postsecondary enrollment, referring agency, disabled, public assistance, IEP, 504 Plan, income level, student goals.
students enrolled at other centers. State adult education personnel have access to consolidated state reports that summarize data but do not include individual student information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Food Stamps</th>
<th>Students living in households eligible for food stamps are automatically eligible for free or reduced lunch in school. This data is used to ensure schools offer the required meals and receive the available federal and state funding.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|             | • State Aid  
|             | • Federal Aid  
|             | • Accountability reporting  
|             | SASID  
|             | Eligibility for Free or Reduced priced meal. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Migrant Data</th>
<th>Migrant students receive direct service, supplemental instructional support in areas such as math and reading and instructional materials. These students provide consent to share data and receive services.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|              | • Federal Reporting  
|              | • Case Management  
|              | Name, DOB, family address, birthplace  
|              | Gender; residency date; qualifying arrival date; parent profession; race; grade; limited English; IEP; priority for service; school district  
|              | Federal Title I-C |
### Special Education

| Students receive special education services when needed. This system is made available to districts to manage the special education evaluation process, to oversee service delivery and to ensure compliance. Parents or guardians must consent to all evaluations and determination to receive services. | Federal reporting  
State reporting  
Adequacy funding  
Case Management by local district | Name, address, student identifier, parent name, address, telephone, contents of Individualized Education Program (IEP) | Assessments, student demographics, outcome decisions, IEP | Code of Federal Regulations 300, State RSA 186 |

### Vocation Rehabilitation

| Vocation Rehabilitation New Hampshire Vocational Rehabilitation has a case management system (CMS) (after July 1st 2015 it will be the AWARE case management system) that documents data elements to assist individuals with disabilities in obtaining and maintaining employment. The agency collects information for federal reporting and for case management purposes. Customers | Case management  
Federal reporting  
Employment verification  
Continuous improvement through data analysis for the agency | Name, address, phone number, SSN, income (public benefits), DOB, signature of parent/guardian (if a transitioning youth) | Case Management: Gender, Impairment / Disability, educational history, previous case histories, criminal background, Name, address, phone number, SSN, income (public benefits), DOB, signature of parent/guardian (if a transitioning youth) and school contact documentation by transition counselors. | Department Of Education, 34 CFR Part 361, State Vocational Rehabilitation Services Program  
ED 1000 VR State Administrative Rules |
applying for services provide their consent in a formal application (VR-1) to collect this data.

attainment, employer contacts and on the job supports.

Service Delivery: Documentation of the services provided to our customers such as authorizations, purchase orders and invoices.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Career and Technical Education</th>
<th>Students in NH public schools can participate in the career and technical education program. These high school programs help students develop skills in areas such as culinary arts, automotive and construction.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                               | - Federal reporting required for federal aid  
- State reporting required for state aid  
- Program oversight |
|                               | SASID, physical address, telephone, DOB |
|                               | Course information, program information such as enrollment, an indicator of work, an indicator if single-mother, and teacher assignment data |
|                               | Federal Perkins law, PL109-270. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Learning Center Programs, Title IVB</th>
<th>The 21st Century Community Learning Center program awards competitive grants for expanded academic enrichment opportunities for children attending high poverty schools. Students</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
|                                               | - Federal reporting required for federal aid.  
- Program oversight  
- Data to measure GPRA indicators and 21st CCLC State Benchmarks |
|                                               | SASID, DOB |
|                                               | Type of program (e.g. school based), program id, number of afterschool visits, number of summer visits, indicators of student achievement (turning in homework, completing homework, volunteering, participating, attendance, |
|                                               | Federal program. Part B — 21st Century Community Learning Centers; Sections 4201-4206. |
### Extended Learning Opportunity (ELO)

Extended learning means the primary acquisition of knowledge and skills through instruction or study outside of the traditional classroom methodology (e.g., apprenticeships, performing groups). About twenty schools participate in an analysis to improve the use of ELOs for students.

- Program oversight
- SASID, DOB

SAU, District, School, course name and description, subject areas, educator ids, community partner type, name and industry, components of program (on-line, independent, traditional), information about credits associated with course, dates of course and identifier if course is elective or core.

### State Assessment (Grades 3-8) Math / ELA

The state has contracted with AIR (American Institutes for Research) to administer the Math and ELA state assessment for students. The assessment is taken by students in grades 3-8 (grade 11 in 2015 only).

- State and Federal reporting required for Accountability
- State reporting for adequacy funding
- Public reporting
- Student Name and DOB (used only for test administration, and then deleted from AIR systems after test results have been verified).

Student Assessment ID (changes each year); Test results (includes overall scores for students and individual answers on each Math and ELA test question); Accommodations accessible to student (e.g. Braille test booklet, calculate use, read-aloud, color contrast, etc.); test status (e.g. expired, Federal NCLB and State Accountability (RSA 193-C); State Adequacy. 

-can opt-in to attend the afterschool program.

being motivated and working with others based on survey results, 21st century reporting ID and school reporting year.
### State Assessment (DLM) Math / ELA
The state has contracted with DLM (Dynamic Learning Maps) to administer the Math and ELA state assessment for students with disabilities who need an alternative measurement tool, when the AIR assessment is not educationally appropriate. The assessment is taken by students in grades 3-8 and 11.

- State and Federal reporting required for Accountability
- State reporting for adequacy funding
- Public reporting

Student Name and DOB (used only for test administration, and then deleted from DLM systems after test results have been verified).

Student Assessment ID (changes each year); Test results (includes overall scores for students and individual answers on each Math and ELA test question); Accommodations accessible to student (e.g. Braille test booklet, calculate use, read-aloud, color contrast, etc.); test status (e.g. expired, completed, etc.). Note: No demographic information (e.g. race, gender, etc. is provided to AIR).

### State Assessment (Science)
The state has contracted with Measured Progress to administer the Science state assessment for students. They administer both a ‘general’ and ‘alternative’ assessment. The alternative is provided for a small subset of students.

- State and Federal reporting required for Accountability
- Public reporting

Student Name & DOB (used only for test administration, and then deleted from Measured Progress systems after test results have been verified).

Student Assessment ID (changes each year); Test results (includes overall scores for students and individual answers on each Science test question).

Federal NCLB and State Accountability (RSA 193-C); State Adequacy.
students who cannot take the general science. The assessment is taken by students in grades 4, 8 and 11.

| State Assessment (SAT) | Beginning 2016, the state has contracted with the College Board to administer the SAT, Math and ELA assessment for students. The assessment is taken by students in grade 11. | • State and Federal reporting required for Accountability
• Public reporting | Student Name and DOB (used for test administration, and upon student request can be removed from system after test results have been verified). | Student Assessment ID (changes each year); Test results (includes overall scores for students and individual answers on each Math and ELA test question);

Students have the option of using this test for college entrance requirements. The SAT includes optional survey question that students can answer. The data is retained by the College Board and not provided back to the NHDOE. | Federal NCLB and State Accountability (RSA 193-C). |

| PerformancePLUS | The PerformancePLUS tool allows schools to gain access to the student data maintained in the Data Warehouse as well as student name. Additionally, schools can add local data to this | • State requirements to share data with schools. | Student Name, DOB and SASID. | Race, Gender, IEP status, LEP Status, College Enrollment information, 21st century afterschool involvement, career and technology education involvement, Special Education status, primary disability, days suspended in- | State Accountability (RSA 193-E). |
system that is not part of the data warehouse. This access is limited to schools and educators who are providing instruction to their students. Per RSA193-E:5 (n) the Commissioner identifies DOE staff who work with districts to verify this data.

school and out-of-school, days absent, town responsible for tuition, promoted indicator, drop-out and expulsion indicator, drop-out warning-risk indicator, homeless status, title I involvement, eligibility for free or reduced priced meals, course assignments.

Additionally, all the state assessment data is included in the PerformancePLUS system along with local assessment data (e.g. NWEA, DIBELS, STAR, PACE local Assessments, etc.) that is provided by the school district. Note: this local assessment data is solely for access by the local school and not shared in any way, even at the aggregate, without a directive by the local school.

PACE

PACE schools are able to assess students with common PACE assessments instead of the AIR or MP assessments.

- Federal and State Accountability

SASID

End of Year Competency results (an indicator 1 to 4, stating the students level of knowledge in each competency - e.g. algebra, speaking and listening, etc.); PACE common assessment (an indicator 1 to 4

Federal NCLB and State Accountability (RSA 193-C).
stating the students level of knowledge achieved in the common assessment (e.g. writing, language competency, etc.); Achievement Level (a teacher judgment of the student’s performance in Math, ELA and Science).
3. Data Security & Privacy

[Note: please click on embedded links to view details about the given laws]

The NH Department of Education (NH DOE) has worked alongside the NH State Legislature to ensure there are appropriate protections to provide security and privacy of the individual student data systems. At a federal level the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act (FERPA) provides protections limiting access to personal student data. Federal program laws provide additional protections, for example, special education-Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, free and reduced lunch, career and technical education. NH State law further restricts the data that can be shared and the manner in which it can be shared. The sharing of data in the state-wide student collection is protected by RSA Section 193-E:5 Unique Pupil Identification, HB 1587 passed in 2014, and other state laws. The NH DOE created a frequently asked questions document to provide answers to commonly asked questions.

Guiding practices have been implemented by the Department of Education, as defined by the following laws ...

1. Identifiable student data is for the use of the institutions educating the students (e.g. K-12 schools, NH postsecondary institutions and preschools).

   As described above, the State Longitudinal Data System (SLDS) and i4see system collect student level data that is used for many purposes (e.g. adequacy funding, school accountability and improvement, etc.). At an individual student level, with student identifiable information, the data is only accessible by the educating institutions. Schools can view their students’ information to help improve instruction. Schools and districts use this information to target instruction and improve student learning. This access is limited to the schools educating the students.

   NH state law also ensures parents/guardians and students have access to their own data through the local school district. Additionally, the law does allow for a small set of individuals at the NH DOE to access student identifiable data, solely for the purpose of helping schools ensure the accuracy of the data. Public access to student data is only at the aggregate level. For example, data on graduation and dropouts includes only a summary of the number of students at each school who have graduated or dropped out of school. To ensure aggregate data cannot be associated with a specific student, aggregate data is suppressed if the number of students falls below a predetermined amount. For example, if we are creating a report on state
assessments results by subgroup and there are only 2 special education students in a given school then we will not release the count of the number of special education students who performed at each level on the state assessment test for that school. This is commonly referred to as ‘cell size’ suppression.

De-identified student level data is provided to researchers performing studies that will inform the instruction and accountability of our schools. No personally identifiable information (e.g. student ID, DOB, etc.) is provided to a researcher.

2. Separation of State Assigned Student ID (sasid) and name.

Within the statewide longitudinal data system (SLDS) maintained on the NH DoIT servers, the student data is organized by SASID (state assigned student identifiers). The student’s name is not maintained in the SLDS. State law allows access to name information only by a small number of staff who work with schools to ensure the validity and accuracy of the data. Annually the Commissioner of Education identifies and documents which staff have this level of access.

As described, the SLDS organizes student information by SASID. The NH DOE is required to maintain a ‘Random Number Generator’ that includes the names of students without allowing the name to be connected to the SASID in the SLDS. An encrypted, separate database, identified as the Random Number database, maintains the link (the key) that connects the name and number. The ability to access the link and make the connection is limited to the small number of DOE staff helping with data accuracy and to the LEAs educating the students.

3. Targeted programs require personally identifiable and personal data.

As described in section 2, there are many individual programs at the Department that provide services for students. These individual systems (e.g. Career and Technical Education, Adult Education, etc.), may share personally identifiable information with service providers who are supporting the students. For example, to provide adult education services to students enrolled in alternative programs, the NH DOE must maintain the address of the student to offer these services. In these situations the student has requested the assistance and is working with the NH DOE to receive support. The information collected in these systems is identified within this document.
4. Security and privacy are critical components of the systems.

State and federal laws have been written to ensure the privacy and security of student data. The Department does not use student data outside of the legal requirements and these requirements are limited to the education of New Hampshire students.

Laws include penalties for misuse. The data systems use encryption to protect the data. Data is only released publically in the aggregate and incorporates cell-size suppression to ensure student privacy.

The Department of Information Technology (DoIT) employs security practices to ensure appropriate access to the data. All personally identifiable data requires secure access and is only accessible by local district educators and a small number of NH DOE staff who helps to ensure the quality of the data. These individuals are identified each year by the NH Commissioner of Education.
The Department has developed a secure system to ensure access to personally identifiable data is limited to those who have the legal and appropriate right to the data. De-identified student level data is maintained in a secure location and accessed only by those required to meet state or federal law. Aggregate data is made available to the general public and is used for many purposes - e.g. legislative decisions, research, family education considerations, etc. Finally, de-identified data is made available for research purposes.

Note: this description does not describe access to subsystems, such as the Adult Education system. Access to these systems is maintained by the specific program and access is limited based upon the applicable laws and required access.

1. Secure Access to Personally Identifiable Student Level Data

Identifiable student level data is accessible by the school(s) educating publicly funded students. These schools use this academic data to improve instruction and to differentiate instruction for individual students. This data is housed in a system called PerformancePLUS. This third party system includes data from the data warehouse along with student name, accessible only to the school districts educating the students. Schools access this secure data to target and improve student learning. For example, school districts may look at student data to determine if a reading program needs to be changed and then to monitor the impact of the new program; a grade level teaching team may consider student data to see which students need additional support and which students need more challenging extensions; a district may develop a local assessment to ensure every student understands key academic subjects; a school may use student data to ensure every student in a vocational education program achieves his/her required learning. All of this data is secure and only accessible to the educators involved in the student learning. The tool PerformancePLUS is a secure hosted system that manages this identifiable data and limits access to the school district educators. This tool allows the schools to view personally identifiable data, along with assessment, demographics and instructional information. With the exception of a few DOE employees who assist schools in validating the quality of the data, this data is not accessible by individuals outside of the school districts.

2. State Longitudinal Data System (SLDS)
The NH DOE maintains a database of de-identified student level data. This database was created to meet the requirements of 193-E:5. This data is the primary source for generating reports used for many critical decisions. The UPIdentifier (Unique Pupil Identifier database) and the SLED (Student Level Education Data) databases constitute the SLDS. This system includes the student data that can be aggregated for many needs. For example, this data is used to determine adequacy funding for public schools; it is used to answer legislative questions required to debate house and senate bills; it is used for school accountability and to ensure schools deliver an adequate education; the data is used to develop reports such as drop-out, graduation and enrollment reports; it is used for many other reports and to provide information to all NH DOE stakeholders. The department provides only aggregate data when sharing this information and follows FERPA requirements to ensure student privacy.

Any data breach for this or other systems would be reported per the DOE Department of Information Technology (DoIT) procedures. Since the creation of this document in 2015, no known breaches have occurred.

3. District Profiles & DOE Reports

The Department makes aggregate data available to the general public. This data is accessible via a myriad of tools on our NH DOE website. There are too many reports on the DOE Website to list each report, however, two primary links include:

- The NH Profiles: [http://my.doe.nh.gov/profiles](http://my.doe.nh.gov/profiles)
- The Data Collections & Reports: [http://www.education.nh.gov/data/index.htm](http://www.education.nh.gov/data/index.htm)

Reports include school and district profiles; school and district assessment scores; longitudinal assessment reports; attendance reports broken out by race, gender and grade; drop-out reports; adequacy funding; free and reduced aggregate counts; etc. All of these reports are aggregate so individual students cannot be identified. In fact, if the number of students drops below a minimum cell count, then the data is suppressed to ensure the privacy of the student data.

4. De-identified Student Level Data

The NH DOE does share de-identified student data with researchers for the sole purpose of a defined scope of work. This research is defined in a memorandum of understanding that is signed by the research organization and the Department. Although the data is de-identified,
the MOU is required to limit the reporting of small cell size and ensure the security of the data. If there is only one student of a given race in a school or grade it might be possible for the researcher to identify the student if the researcher knew the students in the school. Due to this potential identification, any researcher who receives data must sign a memorandum of agreement to ensure that the researcher does not release any reports without implementing cell-size suppression. All released reports are reviewed by the NH DOE. Research could include, for example, the breadth of ELO (Extended Learning Opportunities) in the state; the use of suspensions at the school level (not the individual student level); and the equity of school success throughout the state. All data is purged upon the completion of the research project.

Summary

The use of the student data is confined by the laws of NH as well as Federal laws. The NH DOE is cognizant of the need to ensure the protection of student data, while recognizing the importance of transparency and access to aggregate data.
5. Contacts

For questions regarding data use and privacy, please contact:

Scott Mantie, PhD  
Division Director  
101 Pleasant Street  
Concord, NH 03301  
Scott.Mantie@doe.nh.gov
Appendix A: Inventory of SLDS Data Elements

Following is a more detailed listing of the elements maintained in the SLDS. These elements are initially identified above in the Table found in Section 2. Summary of Collected Data.

**Please note:** All data reported in New Hampshire and to the US Department of Education is in the aggregate, not at the student level!

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Use</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Status of Enrollment</td>
<td>The status of a student’s enrollment (e.g. lives in district, placed out of state, etc.).</td>
<td>Funding; School Accountability; State Reporting, Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAU</td>
<td>The SAU where the student attends school or the SAU responsible for the students education.</td>
<td>School Accountability; State Reporting; Data Verification, Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>The District where the student attends school or the District responsible for the students education.</td>
<td>School Accountability; State Reporting; Data Verification, Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>School</td>
<td>The School the student attends.</td>
<td>School Accountability; State Reporting; Data Verification; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Town Responsible</td>
<td>The town fiscally responsible for the education of the student.</td>
<td>Funding; School Accountability; State Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District Responsible</td>
<td>The district fiscally responsible for the education of the student.</td>
<td>Funding; School Accountability; State Reporting, Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Student Grade</td>
<td>The grade of education for the student (e.g. 1st, 2nd, etc.).</td>
<td>Funding; School Accountability; State Reporting; Data Verification; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry/Exit Dates</td>
<td>The date the student started school for the given school year and the date the student exited school.</td>
<td>Funding; School Accountability; State Reporting; Data Verification; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entry/Exit Reason</td>
<td>The reason the student entered (e.g. moved in from another state, transferred from another NH school,</td>
<td>Data Verification; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Days Absent</strong></td>
<td>The number of half-days the student is absent from school.</td>
<td>Funding; School Accountability; State Reporting; Data Verification, Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Days in Attendance</strong></td>
<td>The number of half-days the student is in attendance in school.</td>
<td>Funding; School Accountability; State Reporting; Data Verification, Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Promoted Indicator</strong></td>
<td>An indicator if the student is promoted to the next grade.</td>
<td>State Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of diploma</strong></td>
<td>The type of diploma awarded the student (e.g. standard diploma, certificate of attendance, GED, etc.)</td>
<td>State Reporting; Federal Reporting; Funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GED date</strong></td>
<td>Date GED was earned.</td>
<td>State Reporting; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post Graduation Plans</strong></td>
<td>The expected plans after graduation high school (e.g. two or four year college, work, military, etc.)</td>
<td>State Reporting; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Days Suspended In School</strong></td>
<td>The number of days a student is suspended in school.</td>
<td>Legislative Requests; Ad-hoc requests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Days Suspended Out of School</strong></td>
<td>The number of days a student is suspended out of school.</td>
<td>Legislative Requests; Ad-hoc requests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Homeless Code</strong></td>
<td>An identifier for students who are homeless (e.g. living in car, living with friends, etc.)</td>
<td>Federal reporting; State Accountability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Original Graduation Year</strong></td>
<td>Four years after a student first starts ninth grade. So for a student starting ninth grade in 2015-16, this value would be 2019.</td>
<td>School Accountability; State Reporting; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Title I Involvement</strong></td>
<td>An indicator (y/n) if a student is receiving targeted or school-wide Title I services (by subject).</td>
<td>School Accountability; State Reporting; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Advanced Placement Course</strong></td>
<td>An indicator that identifies if a student is enrolled in each of the AP courses (e.g. AP Calculus) and if he/she took the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AP test.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Free and Reduced Indicator</strong></td>
<td>An indicator that identifies if a student is eligible for Free or Reduced lunch or Free Milk.</td>
<td>Funding; School Accountability; State Reporting; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct Certification</strong></td>
<td>An indicator identifying if a student was eligible for Free and Reduced lunch via direct certification.</td>
<td>Funding; School Accountability; State Reporting; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Course Assignments</strong></td>
<td>Each school is able to provide a list of the courses a student is taking. The information includes the course name, section name, term name, teacher and optionally the number of credits, primary grade level and room number.</td>
<td>Federal Reporting; School access to data.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>GPA</strong></td>
<td>The cumulative GPA for a student (high school only).</td>
<td>District reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Credits Earned</strong></td>
<td>The cumulative credits for a student (high school only).</td>
<td>District reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>State Scholar Indicator</strong></td>
<td>An indicator (y/n) to identify students involved in the state scholar program (optional).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EL Indicator</strong></td>
<td>An indicator if a student is receiving English language services (for students where English is a second language).</td>
<td>Funding; School Accountability; State Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EL Monitoring ID</strong></td>
<td>Status indicating if student receives EL service, denied service or is monitored.</td>
<td>Funding; School Accountability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EL First Year</strong></td>
<td>Date of first entry into US public schools.</td>
<td>School Accountability.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Career and Technical Education</strong></td>
<td>An indicator identifying if a student is enrolled in a Career and Technical education program.</td>
<td>State Reporting; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>21st Century After School Program</strong></td>
<td>An indicator (y/n) identifying if a student is involved with the 21st century after school program.</td>
<td>Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Post-Secondary Status</strong></td>
<td>Information identifying if a student is enrolled in a two-year, four-year, in-state, out-of-state postsecondary program, state identifier. The information also includes the enrollment</td>
<td>State Reporting; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
status (full-time, part-time, etc.), enrollment dates, graduation date and degree earned.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Special Education Status</th>
<th>An indicator identifying if a student is receiving special education services and the primary and secondary type of disability.</th>
<th>Funding; School Accountability; State Reporting; Data Verification; Federal Reporting.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>IEP Begin and End Dates</td>
<td>The dates that an IEP (Individual Education Plan) begins and ends. These fields are blank if the student is on the IEP for the entire year.</td>
<td>Funding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Migrant Status</td>
<td>An indicator (y/n) identifying if a student is a migrant student.</td>
<td>School Accountability; State Reporting; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State Assessment Results</td>
<td>The student results on the state assessment test. (The results include overall scaled score, achievement level, not tested flag (y/n), raw score, item level responses, test accommodations, student growth and target percentile).</td>
<td>Funding; School Accountability; State Reporting; Data Verification; Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAT</td>
<td>The students overall subject scores (math, verbal, writing).</td>
<td>State Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PACE</td>
<td>The overall level of student performance in Math, ELA and Science.</td>
<td>School Accountability, State Reporting, Federal Reporting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scholarship recipient</td>
<td>An indicator identifying if a student receives an Education Tax Credit scholarship.</td>
<td>Funding.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>