School Year: 2005-2006

District Name: fremont

| Children with disabilities ages 3 to 5: | 12 |
| Students with disabilities ages 6 to 21: | 100 |
| Child Count (Dec. 1) Children and Youth with Disabilities: | 112 |

**District Performance on New Hampshire’s State Performance Plan Indicators**

A copy of New Hampshire’s State Performance Plan (SPP) and Annual Performance Report (APR) are available online at http://www.ed.state.nh.us/education/doe/organization/instruction/SpecialEd/OSEPreports.htm. The SPP is New Hampshire’s plan for improvement in areas identified by indicators to successfully affect student outcomes. IDEA requires that states report annually to the public on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) or district on 14 of the 20 indicators.

**Indicator 1: Graduation Rate:** Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Youth with Disabilities:</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>State Target</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>72%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Indicator 2: Dropout Rate:** Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Youth with Disabilities:</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>State Target</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Indicator 3: Participation and Performance of students with disabilities on statewide assessments:**

A. Percent of districts that have a disability subgroup that meets the State’s minimum “n” size meeting the State’s AYP objectives for progress for disability subgroup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did this district meet AYP objectives for disability subgroup?</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>Percent of districts in the State that met AYP objectives for disability subgroup:</th>
<th>*</th>
<th>State Target</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

B. Participation rate for children with IEPs in a regular assessment with no accommodations; regular assessment with accommodations; alternate assessment against grade level standards; alternate assessment against alternate achievement standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>State Target</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>96.18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>96.18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level standards and alternate achievement standards.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade 10:</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>State Target</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reading</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>33.45%</td>
<td>41.49%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Math</td>
<td>District</td>
<td>State Target</td>
<td>State</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade 10:</td>
<td>***</td>
<td>44.59%</td>
<td>31.81%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data for this indicator are not available by district for the 2005-06 reporting period.

**There are no schools in this district. Data for this indicator are reported based on the school of attendance rather than the district where the child lives.

***There are no high schools in this district.

n/a = not applicable: There are no child/student data reported in 2005-06 for this indicator.

cs = cell size: Data are not reported in 2005-2006 because the cell size was 11 or fewer children/students.
District Name: Fremont

District Performance on New Hampshire’s State Performance Plan Indicators

Indicator 4: Rates of Suspension/Expulsion:
A. Percent of districts identified by the State as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year.

Did this district have a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities?  
no

Percent of districts in the State that had significant discrepancies in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities?  
State Target  2.8%  
State  2.2%

Indicator 5: School Age Placement - Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21:

a. Removed from regular class less than 21% of the day:  
87.0%

b. Removed from regular class greater than 60% of the day:  
12.0%

c. Served in public or private separate schools, residential placements, or homebound or hospital placements:  
1.0%

Indicator 6: Preschool Settings:

Percent of preschool children with IEPs who received special education and related services in settings with typically developing peers (e.g., early childhood settings, home, and part-time early childhood/part-time early childhood special education settings):
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## District Name: Fremont

### District Performance on New Hampshire's State Performance Plan Indicators

#### Indicator 9: Disproportionate Representation due to Inappropriate Identification

Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did this district meet have disproportionate representation due to inappropriate identification?</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>State Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number and percent of districts in the State with inappropriate identification due to inappropriate identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Indicator 10: Disproportionate Representation due to Inappropriate Identification: Specific Disability Categories

Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Did this district have disproportionate identification due to inappropriate identification?</th>
<th>District</th>
<th>State Target</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>American Native</td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Black</td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>White</td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number and percent of districts in the State with disproportionate identification due to inappropriate identification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>American Native</td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black</td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Indicator 11: Evaluation Timelines:

Percent of children with parental consent to evaluate, who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 days (or State established timeline).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>State Target</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Indicator 12: Part C to Part B Transition:

Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>State Target</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cs</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>88.26%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Indicator 13: Transition Planning:

Percent of youth aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>State Target</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>---%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Indicator 14: Postschool Outcomes:

Percent of youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and who have been competitively employed, enrolled in some type of postsecondary school, or both, within one year of leaving high school.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>District</th>
<th>State Target</th>
<th>State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Indicators shaded gray will be reported as required by the U.S. Department of Education, Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP). n/a = not applicable: There are no child/student data reported in 2005-06 for this indicator.

cs = cell size: Data are not reported in 2005-2006 because the cell size was 11 or fewer children/students.