New Hampshire Department of Education

Adult Education - Expanding Access, Reducing Waiting Lists Competitive Grant

Bureau of Adult Education

April 1, 2024

Summary: The New Hampshire Department of Education (NHED) is issuing a request for applications (RFA) to target federal funds for expanding access to and reducing waiting lists of approved adult education & literacy programs.

Dates: Application available April 1, 2024. Applications will be considered on a rolling basis. The application period will close at 4:00 PM on May 5, 2024. Submit applications using the attached application. Awardees will be notified within two weeks of application.

Purpose of the Program: The purpose of this competitive grant is to target Federal WIOA Adult Education funds to eligible WIOA Adult Education programs in support of reducing waiting lists and expanding access to adult education services before the end of the program year, June 30, 2024.

Eligibility: WIOA Adult Education programs awarded grants under RFP-2023-BAE-001 with an approved FY24 budget in the Grants Management System.

Applicants must also meet the following eligibility requirements:

- 1. Have expended at least 60% of their original FY24 allocation
- 2. Have submitted a year-end projection worksheet demonstrating that they have a need for additional funding
- 3. Provide data demonstrating a need for funding to serve either prospective students on a waiting list or enrolled students needing additional instructional hours prior to the end of the FY24 program year

Total Funding Available: \$300,000

Estimated Award Amounts: There is no minimum or maximum award.

Provision of Services: Funds must be obligated by June 30, 2024 and submitted for reimbursement in the Grants

Management System by August 15, 2024.

For Further Information: contact Sarah Wheeler, Sarah.L.Wheeler@doe.nh.gov

Submission: See attached application.

This grant program has one absolute priority. Applicants must meet the absolute priority.

Absolute Priority: Increasing access for prospective and/or enrolled students. This grant priority focuses on expanding access to adult education services for the following students:

- Currently on a waiting list because current classes are at maximum capacity.
- Needs additional instructional hours to become NRS eligible or to have sufficient hours between assessments to post-test prior to the end of the program year.

As of March, 2024, there were more than 500¹ prospective students statewide on the waiting list in LACES and the Bureau was notified by three agencies that they have implemented waiting list procedures due to classes being full. This funding may be used to provide additional classes to meet the needs of these students until the end of the program year.

¹ Some of these students may be prospective portal students who are not yet eligible to be removed from the waiting list.

Also as of March, 2024, there were 63 students with 0-11 hours statewide who could be captured as NRS eligible participants and an additional 693 with 12-39 hours between assessments. The state's Measurable Skill Gain rate is currently 17% with a negotiated target of 30%. This funding may be used to increase the instructional hours for these students to make them eligible for posttesting prior to June 30, 2024.

Applicants must provide details on the strategy(ies) proposed to expand adult education services prior to the end of the program year. This may include expanded instructional hours such as:

- Adding new class(es)
- Increasing the hours of current class(es) through direct in-person instruction, remote instruction, proxy
 hours, learner mastery hours and/or teacher verification hours as defined in the 2023-2024 NH Data and
 Assessment Policy

The funding may also be used for increased support staff hours for activities such as:

- Contacting and enrolling prospective students
- Scheduling and administering assessment tests
- Counseling students for postsecondary transition after exit but before June 30th

The budget may only include direct costs associated with providing increased instructional hours such as instructor salaries and benefits, books, materials, and other such costs.

The Applicant must set a performance target and describe how progress toward the target will be evaluated and reported to NHED by the end of the program to ensure that the center will meet its target performance goal.

Scoring Process: Grants will be scored by at least three independent reviewers according to the criteria below. Scores will be averaged into one overall score. After scores are determined, applications meeting the minimum score of 70 points will be awarded in the order in which they were received, until funds are depleted. Therefore, not all applications will be funded unless the total requests are less than or equal to the total amount of funding for this competitive grant. The NHED reserves the right to adjust budget requests as needed based on reviewer scores and rank order.

Any funding that is not awarded through this RFA will be carried forward into FY25 and added to the formula for next year's grant allocations.

Scoring Criteria: Adult Education – Expanded Access 2024 New Hampshire Dept. of Education

Criteria			Points		
Application meets	24 points	18 points	12 points	6 points	0 points
the requirements of	Application	Application	Application	Application	Application does
the absolute priority,	supports absolute	supports absolute	supports absolute	supports absolute	not support
expanding access to	priority	priority to a	priority to a	priority to a	absolute priority.
adult ed services	completely.	strong degree.	moderate degree.	minimal degree.	
Applicant has a	24 Points	18 points	12 point	6 points	0 points
demonstrated need	Applicant	Applicant	Applicant	Applicant includes	Applicant does
for additional	demonstrates a	demonstrates a	demonstrates	minimal	not demonstrate
funding because the	compelling need	moderate need	some need for	demonstrated	need for
current grant award	for additional	for additional	additional	need for additional	additional
has or is projected to	funding; more	funding; at least	funding; at least	funding; less than	funding.
be completed	than 60% of FY24	60% of FY24	50% of FY24	50% of funding is	
expended.	funding is	funding is	funding is	expended and	
	expended and	expended and	expended and	projections	
	projections	projections	projections	indicate 85% will	
	indicate 100% will	indicate 95% will	indicate 90% will	be expended by	
	be expended by	be expended by	be expended by	6/30/24.	
	6/30/24.	6/30/24.	6/30/24.		
Applicant has a	12 points	9 points	6 points	3 points	0 points
demonstrated need	Applicant	Applicant	Applicant	Applicant includes	Applicant does
for additional	demonstrates a	demonstrates a	demonstrates	minimal	not demonstrate
funding to meet the	compelling need	moderate need	some need for	demonstrated	need for funding
needs of prospective	for funding to	for funding to	funding to meet	need for funding to	to meet student
students on a waiting	meet student	meet student	student needs;	meet student	needs; there is
list and/or enrolled	needs; there is a	needs; there is a	there are some	needs; there are a	not a waiting list
students who could	waiting list and	waiting list or	students on a	few students	or students
become NRS eligible	there are	there are	waiting list or	needing additional	needing
or earn MSG.	students needing	students needing	needing	hours.	additional hours.
	additional hours.	additional hours.	additional hours.		
Application identifies	12 noints	9 points	6 points	3 points	0 points
	12 points	_	_		
how the proposed	Explanation is	Explanation is	Explanation is	Explanation does	Explanation is
how the proposed funding will expand	Explanation is clear and	Explanation is clear with some	included; lacks	not support the	incomplete or
how the proposed funding will expand adult education	Explanation is clear and compelling with	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail;	included; lacks supporting detail;	not support the grant activity; no	-
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30,	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail;	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy	not support the	incomplete or
how the proposed funding will expand adult education	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail;	included; lacks supporting detail;	not support the grant activity; no	incomplete or
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30,	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy	not support the grant activity; no	incomplete or
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024.	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed.	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed.	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed.	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy.	incomplete or missing.
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024.	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed.	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed.	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points	incomplete or missing. O points
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance	incomplete or missing. O points Performance
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are	incomplete or missing. O points Performance measures and
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written,	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however	incomplete or missing. O points Performance measures and outcomes are
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program activity and	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with	o points Performance measures and outcomes are not included or
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the program activity	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program activity or	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with the program	incomplete or missing. O points Performance measures and outcomes are
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program activity and	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with the program activity or	o points Performance measures and outcomes are not included or
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with the program activity	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the program activity and outcomes.	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program activity and outcomes.	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program activity or outcomes.	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with the program activity or outcomes.	o points Performance measures and outcomes are not included or are very general.
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the program activity and outcomes.	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program activity and outcomes.	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program activity or outcomes.	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with the program activity or outcomes. 4 points	o points Performance measures and outcomes are not included or are very general.
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with the program activity	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the program activity and outcomes. 16 points The budget is	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program activity and outcomes. 12 points The budget is	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program activity or outcomes. 8 points The budget is	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with the program activity or outcomes. 4 points The budget is	o points Performance measures and outcomes are not included or are very general. O points The budget is
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with the program activity	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the program activity and outcomes. 16 points The budget is reasonable,	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program activity and outcomes. 12 points The budget is complete;	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program activity or outcomes. 8 points The budget is included; is	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with the program activity or outcomes. 4 points The budget is incomplete or	o points Performance measures and outcomes are not included or are very general. O points The budget is not included, is
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with the program activity	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the program activity and outcomes. 16 points The budget is reasonable, necessary and	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program activity and outcomes. 12 points The budget is complete; includes direct	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program activity or outcomes. 8 points The budget is included; is unreasonable for	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with the program activity or outcomes. 4 points The budget is incomplete or inconsistent with	o points Performance measures and outcomes are not included or are very general. O points The budget is not included, is not reasonable
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with the program activity	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the program activity and outcomes. 16 points The budget is reasonable, necessary and complete;	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program activity and outcomes. 12 points The budget is complete; includes direct instructional	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program activity or outcomes. 8 points The budget is included; is unreasonable for the activity or	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with the program activity or outcomes. 4 points The budget is incomplete or	o points Performance measures and outcomes are not included or are very general. O points The budget is not included, is not reasonable or necessary,
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with the program activity	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the program activity and outcomes. 16 points The budget is reasonable, necessary and complete; includes direct	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program activity and outcomes. 12 points The budget is complete; includes direct	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program activity or outcomes. 8 points The budget is included; is unreasonable for the activity or includes non-	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with the program activity or outcomes. 4 points The budget is incomplete or inconsistent with	o points Performance measures and outcomes are not included or are very general. O points The budget is not included, is not reasonable or necessary, and/or does not
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with the program activity	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the program activity and outcomes. 16 points The budget is reasonable, necessary and complete; includes direct instructional	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program activity and outcomes. 12 points The budget is complete; includes direct instructional	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program activity or outcomes. 8 points The budget is included; is unreasonable for the activity or	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with the program activity or outcomes. 4 points The budget is incomplete or inconsistent with	O points Performance measures and outcomes are not included or are very general. O points The budget is not included, is not reasonable or necessary, and/or does not support the
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with the program activity	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the program activity and outcomes. 16 points The budget is reasonable, necessary and complete; includes direct	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program activity and outcomes. 12 points The budget is complete; includes direct instructional	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program activity or outcomes. 8 points The budget is included; is unreasonable for the activity or includes non-directly related	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with the program activity or outcomes. 4 points The budget is incomplete or inconsistent with	o points Performance measures and outcomes are not included or are very general. O points The budget is not included, is not reasonable or necessary, and/or does not
how the proposed funding will expand adult education services by June 30, 2024. Performance measures and outcomes align with the program activity	Explanation is clear and compelling with supporting detail; multiple strategies proposed. 12 points Performance measures are clearly written, align with the program activity and outcomes. 16 points The budget is reasonable, necessary and complete; includes direct instructional	Explanation is clear with some supporting detail; several strategies proposed. 9 points Performance measures align with the program activity and outcomes. 12 points The budget is complete; includes direct instructional	included; lacks supporting detail; only one strategy proposed. 6 points Performance measures show some alignment with the program activity or outcomes. 8 points The budget is included; is unreasonable for the activity or includes non-directly related	not support the grant activity; no proposed strategy. 3 points Performance measures are included; however do not align with the program activity or outcomes. 4 points The budget is incomplete or inconsistent with	O points Performance measures and outcomes are not included or are very general. O points The budget is not included, is not reasonable or necessary, and/or does not support the

NH Adult Education Adult Education Federal Funds – Expanded Access Competitive Grant Application April 1, 2024

Email completed application to: AdultEd@doe.nh.gov
NOTE: Awards will be granted on a rolling basis until May 5, 2024.

Center Name:		Date:					
Person Completing	9	Email:					
Application:							
Eligibility							
☐ I confirm that this center has an approved WIOA Adult Education program.							
☐ I confirm that this center has an approved FY24 budget in the Grants Management System.							
☐ I confirm that I have submitted the FY24 Projection Worksheet to the Bureau.							
 a. Please enter your original FY24 allocation, the amount spent so far this year and calculate the percent of current funding expended. b. Enter the projected expense total by the end of the program year from your submitted projection worksheet and calculate the projected percent expended by the year. c. Enter the month of your last submitted monthly report (from GMS). 							
From GMS	Original Allocation	Current Expended Amount	Percent already expended	Projected Expense Total by 6/30/2024	Projected percent expended by 6/30/2024	repo	nth of last submitted monthly ort
Federal Funds					0/00/2021		
State Funds							
Total							
2. Please enter the number of currently enrolled students, prospective students, waiting list students from LACES and the number of currently enrolled students with less than 40 hours							
between assessments.							
Current Enrolled Prospective Waiting List Currently Enrolled, less than 40 h between assessments							
Absolute Priority							
3. Please explain why the program needs additional funding to address prospective students,							
waiting list students or currently enrolled students needing additional instructional hours.							
4 Diagonal describes the arrangement strategy (i.e.) for your additional to a distribution (i.e.)							
4. Please describe the proposed strategy(ies) for expanding access to adult education services for prospective students, students on a waiting list and/or others needing additional instructional hours							
before the end of t Prospective Stude			ing List Students			urrently	Enrolled need hours
i rospective stude	1110	vvaili	ing List Students			on c illy	Emolied need nouls

Performance Measures					
5. Please describe how the performance will be measured for the impact of this additional funding. Measures may be related to enrollment, measurable skill gain, number of instructional hours.					
Budget					
6. Please include a detailed budget and budget narrative explaining any calculations using the					
following GMS Categories and line items as applicable.					
Category	Line Item	Amount	Narrative Description		
Administrative	Salary				
	Benefits				
Support	Salary				
	Benefits				
Instructional	Salary				
	Benefits				
	Professional				
	Technical Services				
	Property Services				
	Other Purchased				
	Services				
	Supplies				
	Property				
	Other Objects				
	Other Uses of Funds				
	Total Amount				
	Requested				