

Agenda for the State Advisory Committee (SAC) For Children with Disabilities

Advising the NH Department of Education per RSA 186-C:3-b

Wednesday, November 2, 2022 – 4:30 pm to 7:00 pm

In-person Location

The Hotel Concord – New Hampshire Room – 3rd Floor
11 So. Main Street, Concord

Virtual Link

Nov 2, 2022 04:30 PM Eastern Time (US and Canada)

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/83779978712?pwd=V2ZjdWNKLzNhZThUNXZ1NXdPRnRSZz09>

Meeting ID: 837 7997 8712

Passcode: 626542

One tap mobile

+16465189805,,83779978712#,,,,*626542# US (New York)

+16465588656,,83779978712#,,,,*626542# US (New York)

Dial by your location

+1 646 518 9805 US (New York)

+1 646 558 8656 US (New York)

Meeting ID: 837 7997 8712

Passcode: 626542

Members of the Public: Please NOTE that the following agenda times will be followed as closely as possible. If you are a member of the public planning on attending for just a portion of the meeting, please plan to arrive 15 minutes early and stay 15 minutes after the scheduled time. Thank you in advance for your participation and understanding.

Reminders to NH SAC Members:

- Please remember that NHSAC is advising on behalf of **all** children and youth with disabilities who are IDEA eligible.
- The responsibility of NHSAC members is to advise, not advocate, for an individual position.
- The NHSAC will provide advice based on data, facts, and good judgment.
- The 2022-2023 Annual Report which will include recommendations to the commissioner of education will be voted on at the May 3, 2023 NH SAC Meeting.

Meeting Norms

- * Avoid acronyms
- * No sidebar conversations
- * All members feel comfortable to ask questions
- * Respect group time
- * Be mindful of others' communication needs

<p>4:30 – Call to Order / Roll Call / Do we have a Quorum? / Welcome</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Jen Blagriff to Welcome members - Joanne Grobecker to speak regarding meeting norms <p>4:35 – Today’s Meeting Minutes</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - The following information will be shared with NH SAC Members. The following was voted on and approved at the Wednesday, October 5, 2022 meeting: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o a NH SAC committee member takes minutes in an outline form to be posted publicly within 5 days and then these are expanded upon by NHDOE administrative support o ASK For a Volunteer of someone to do an outline of the meeting to be posted in 5 days <p>4:40 – Public Comment and/or Brief Updates or Reports from Subcommittees. (Public Comment takes priority.)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Public will be asked to limit comments to 3 minutes a person with a max of 5 minutes - Prior to public comment, information from the by-laws regarding public comment will be read <p>4:50 - Approval of September and October Meeting Minutes</p> <p>4:55 – Unfinished Business: Discussion, Debate and possible voting on the issue of the NH SAC Quorum</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Background Information <ul style="list-style-type: none"> o In May 2022, Ester Kennedy made a motion seconded by Joanne Grobecker to amend the by-laws for a quorum to be 20% of members in person and 51% total in person and on-line and to sunset on December 7, 2022. This was approved. (21 approved, 0 abstentions, 0 opposed. Motion is carried.) o The sunset clause is on December 7, 2022. If we do not vote and approve a continued modified quorum requirement, we will need to meet the past requirements for a quorum which will likely be difficult to achieve this year. <p>5:20 – Unfinished Business: Monthly NH Education Department Presentation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Please note: Monthly NH ED presentations will address a variety of topics including but not limited to the following: (1) current issues impacting the State, (2) progress reports on the APR, SSIP and State level of determination • November’s Presentation will be on Indicator 17 <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Krisha Dubreuil will be presenting on Indicator 17 at the November meeting 	<p>* Be “present”. Stay on topic, be respectful of others</p> <p>* Allow for processing time before asking members to make decisions</p> <p>* Be welcoming of all public participation</p> <p>* Focus group efforts on SAC responsibilities</p>
--	---

- Please note that this presentation will serve three purposes (1) for members to learn about indicator 17, (2) for members to provide feedback on indicator 17 and (3) for members to use the knowledge gained in this presentation to guide possible priority goal voting
- Time for Questions and Answers will be provided

6:00 – Unfinished Business: Continued discussion, debate and possible voting on the 2022-2023 NH SAC Annual Priorities Possibilities.

* We will discuss the following possible priority categories with the goal of voting on 3 priorities:

- (1) Inclusive School Culture / Equity in education
- (2) Achievement Gap / Proficiency in Reading
- (3) Personnel Shortage / Special Education Teacher and Paras
- (4) Barriers / Mental Health / Parent Support / Most Vulnerable
- (5) Student centered high achievement goals towards a standard high school diploma and beyond
- Please note that if you want to make a motion to add another item to be voted on, you are welcome to do so.

6:25 – New Business: Subcommittee Discussion, Debate and Possible Vote

- Things to possibly discuss:
 - When should subcommittees meet?
 - How many subcommittees should there be?
 - Should each priority have a subcommittee?

6:35 – New Business: December Meeting Discussion, Debate and Possible Voting

6:45 - Public Comment and/or Brief Updates or Reports from Subcommittees. (Public Comment takes priority.)

- Public will be asked to limit comments to 3 minutes a person with a max of 5 minutes
- Prior to public comment, information from the by-laws regarding public comment will be read

6:55 - Nominations, Announcements, Agenda Suggestions for Next Meeting(s), Comments from the Chair

7:00 – Adjourn –

Next Meeting Wednesday, January 4, 2022 4:30-7:00 PM

The 2021-2022 priorities decided at the September 15, 2021 retreat were as follows:

1. Inclusive School Culture/Equity in Education
2. Achievement Gap/Proficiency in Reading
3. Personnel Shortages/Special Education Teachers and Paras

The State Advisory Committee's responsibilities include the following (excerpts from RSA 186-C:3-b):

§ **Purpose:** to advise the commissioner of education on issues relating to special education, and to promote communication and cooperation among individuals involved with students with disabilities. In addition, the committee shall review the federal financial participation and the level of state funding to determine their impact on the programs and delivery of services to children/students with disabilities.

§ Advise the New Hampshire Department of Education regarding unmet needs within the state related to the education of children/students with disabilities.

§ Comment publicly on the state plan and rules and regulations proposed for issuance by the state regarding the education of children with disabilities.

§ Assist the state in developing and reporting such information and evaluations as may assist the US Secretary of Education in the performance of responsibilities under Section 618 of the Individuals with Disabilities Act.

§ Advise the Department of Education in developing corrective action plans to address findings identified in federal monitoring reports.

§ Advise the Department of Education in developing and implementing policies relating to the coordination of services for children/students with disabilities.

§ Provide an annual report to the Governor and the State Legislature on the status of education of children with disabilities in New Hampshire.

Additionally, in accordance with §300.514 of IDEA, findings and decisions of due process hearings are to be transmitted to the SAC, after any personally identifiable information has been deleted. [Note: Due process findings and decisions may be found at:

<https://www.education.nh.gov/parents-and-students/appeals-and-mediation/appeals-and-mediation-case-archive>

State Performance Plan (SPP)/ Annual Performance Report (APR) Indicators for IDEA Part B

1.	Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular high school diploma. (NH used a 4-year adjusted cohort graduation rate)
2.	Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.
3.	<p>Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments:</p> <p>A. Reserved</p> <p>B. Participation rate for children with IEPs.</p> <p>C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievement standards.</p>
4.	<p>Rates of suspension and expulsion:</p> <p>A. Percent of districts that have a significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs.</p> <p>B. Percent of districts that have:</p> <p>(a) a significant discrepancy, by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days in a school year for children with IEPs; and</p> <p>(b) policies, procedures or practices that contribute to the significant discrepancy and do not comply with requirements relating to the development and implementation of IEPs, the use of positive behavioral interventions and supports, and procedural safeguards.</p>
5.	<p>Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 served:</p> <p>A. Inside the regular class 80% or more of the day;</p> <p>B. Inside the regular class less than 40% of the day; and</p> <p>C. In separate schools, residential facilities, or homebound/hospital placements.</p>
6.	<p>Percent of children with IEPs aged 3 through 5 attending a:</p> <p>A. Regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program; and</p> <p>B. Separate special education class, separate school or residential facility.</p>
7.	<p>Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 with IEPs who demonstrate improved:</p> <p>Note: a. Preschool children who did not improve functioning</p> <p>b. Preschool children who improved functioning but not sufficient to move nearer to functioning comparable to same-aged peers</p> <p>c. Preschool children who improved functioning to a level nearer to same-aged peers but did not reach it</p>

	<p>d. Preschool children who improved functioning to reach a level comparable to same-aged peers</p> <p>e. Preschool children who maintained functioning at a level comparable to same-aged peers</p> <p>A. Positive social-emotional skills (including social relationships);</p> <p>A1. Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in Outcome A, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</p> <p>A2. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome A by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</p> <p>B. Acquisition and use of knowledge and skills (including early language/communication and early literacy); and</p> <p>B1. Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in Outcome B, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</p> <p>B2. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome B by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</p> <p>C. Use of appropriate behaviors to meet their needs.</p> <p>C1. Of those preschool children who entered or exited the preschool program below age expectations in Outcome C, the percent who substantially increased their rate of growth by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</p> <p>C2. The percent of preschool children who were functioning within age expectations in Outcome C by the time they turned 6 years of age or exited the program.</p>
8.	Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.
9.	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that is the result of inappropriate identification.
10.	Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.
11.	Percent of children who were evaluated within 60 days of receiving parental consent for initial evaluation or, if the State establishes a timeframe within which the evaluation must be conducted, within that timeframe.
12.	Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.

13.	Percent of youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, transition services, including courses of study, that will reasonably enable the student to meet those postsecondary goals, and annual IEP goals related to the student's transition services needs. There also must be evidence that the student was invited to the IEP Team meeting where transition services are to be discussed and evidence that, if appropriate, a representative of any participating agency was invited to the IEP Team meeting with the prior consent of the parent or student who has reached the age of majority.
14.	<p>Percent of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and were:</p> <p>A. Enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school.</p> <p>B. Enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school.</p> <p>C. Enrolled in higher education or in some other postsecondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school.</p>
15.	Percent of hearing requests that went to resolution sessions that were resolved through resolution session settlement agreements.
16.	Percent of mediations held that resulted in mediation agreements.
17.	Each State's SPP/APR includes a State Systemic Improvement Plan (SSIP) that meets the requirements set forth for this indicator (a comprehensive, ambitious, yet achievable multi-year plan for improving results for children with disabilities). <i>NH's SSIP focuses on improved social-emotional outcomes for preschool children with IEPs.</i>