

2024 0322 PHE Task Force – Staff Notes

(Meeting start 0906)

Draft revisions – potential vote this afternoon to accept report

Commissioner provided feedback

Revisions will be based on report draft (3/18) circulated to Task Force

Length – removed a number of appendices, reorganized body content

N. Toumpas – body of the report is key

S. Appleby – is the matrix easier to read? Move back to the front?

J. Eastman – suggestion that it would track better with the matrix in the front, to follow the executive order

R. Lavers – matrix is duplicative to short-term, long-term list and some components of the matrix are not noted in the EO list

Some ideas are better, will resonate more

We could make more of the ordering

J. Eastman – we could make more of the findings section

S. Appleby – feedback from Governor's office was positive

Governor read the previous draft, felt the Task Force had exceeded expectations

N. Toumpas – wants the report to be impactful, reflective of what we've done as a Task Force and not something to be put on a shelf

The task at hand should be largely formatting

G. Hansel – audiences

Executive summary – broadest audience

The "meat" is an important decision – informs an operations plan

D. Luneau – the executive summary is what most people will focus on

Findings – feels we are still thin

R. Ladd – finding balance – everything is important, included, but how much do we need to extract from the executive summary?

The info is there

C. Provencher – doesn't feel we 'researched' the success of mergers

J. MacDonald – pull up highlights to the executive summary to underline immediate action –

contextualization

G. Hansel – this gets into prioritization... a next step

S. Appleby – if we get too explanatory, it will dilute the message

J. Gray – leave report as-is, refer out to other sections of the report?

R. Lavers – helpful to include a statement on hours spent

N. Toumpas – prioritization on the part of the systems will need to occur
This is a blueprint

J. Eastwood – we articulate take-aways in including the HB1450 section
Building any more detail will confuse audiences

R. Lavers – all the ideas are important... perhaps prioritization isn't important
and prioritization should fall to the systems

C. Provencher – agrees; chancellors have already been discussing how to structure, report back to 1450

(1000 time marker)

Individual paragraph review of executive summary – changes reflected directly in draft through real-time edits (with changes tracked) (0318-02 file)

Update – 'system' versus 'schools' – K-12 references

(1220 lunch)

(1240 resume)

Review of 3/15 meeting minutes; motion to approve by Cathy Provencher, seconded by Jackie Eastwood – unanimously approved

Update – CCSNH initiatives provided by M. Rubinstein

Update – acronyms (eg NHES, DOL, WMCC... spell them out)

S. Appleby – next steps

Send out a cleaned up report by the end of day Monday, March 25th

Emergency meeting to vote (via Zoom) on Wednesday, March 27th at noon

Update – wordsmith the initiatives to create consistency in verbiage

Update – other corrections / edits highlighted on the draft

Update – page numbers and headings

(1404 meeting adjourned)